

**CENTRAL ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
NEW DELHI**

**Petition No. 276/MP/2025 along with IA No(s). 15/2025, 29/2025 and 30/2025
Petition No. 346/MP/2025 along with IA No. 23/2025
Petition No. 347/MP/2025 along with IA No. 24/2025**

**Coram:
Shri Jishnu Barua, Chairperson
Shri Ramesh Babu V., Member
Shri Harish Dudani, Member
Shri Ravinder Singh Dhillon, Member**

Date of Order:02.03.2026

Petition No.276/MP/2025 along with IA No(s). 15/2025, 29/2025 and 30/2025

In the Matter of:

Petition under Section 79 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 41 and 42 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access to the inter-State Transmission System) Regulations, 2022 challenging Notices dated 24.01.2025 issued by Central Transmission Utility of India Limited to Serentica Renewables India 4 Private Limited and seeking directions against revocation of 200 MW connectivity.

AND

In the matter of:

**Serentica Renewables India 4 Pvt. Ltd.,
DLF Cyber Park, 9th Floor, Tower B, Sector 20
DLF Phase 3, Gurugram**

..... Petitioner

Versus

**Central Transmission Utility of India Limited,
“Saudamini”, 1st floor, Plot No-2
Sector-29, Gurgaon– 122001
Haryana**

.....Respondent

Petition No: 346/MP/2025 along with IA 23/2025

In the matter of:

Petition under Section 79 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 41 and 42 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access to the inter-State Transmission System) Regulations, 2022 challenging Revocation Notice dated 10.03.2025 (received on 11.03.2025) issued by Central Transmission Utility of India Limited to ReNew Green (MHP One) Pvt. Ltd. and seeking directions against revocation of connectivity.

AND

In the matter of:

1. ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd.,
ReNew Hub, Commercial Block 1,
Zone 6, Golf Course Road, DLF City, Phase-V,
Gurgaon- 122009, Haryana

2. ReNew Green (MHP One) Pvt. Ltd.
138, Ansal Chamber-II, Bhikaji Cama Place,
New Delhi – 110066

..... **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. Central Transmission Utility of India Limited,
“Saudamini”, 1st floor, Plot No-2
Sector-29, Gurgaon– 122001, Haryana

.....**Respondent**

Petition No. 347/MP/2025 along with IA No. 24/2025

In the matter of:

Petition under Section 79 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 41 and 42 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access to the inter-State Transmission System) Regulations, 2022 challenging Revocation Notices dated 10.03.2025 (received on 11.03.2025) issued by Central Transmission Utility of India Limited to ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd. and seeking directions against revocation of connectivity.

AND

In the matter of:

1. ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd.,
ReNew Hub, Commercial Block 1, Zone 6
Golf Course Road, DLF City, Phase-V,
Gurgaon- 122009, Haryana

...**Petitioner**

Versus

1. Central Transmission Utility of India Limited

“Saudamini”, 1st floor, Plot No-2
Sector-29, Gurgaon– 122001, Haryana

...Respondent No. 1

2. Western Regional Load Dispatch Centre

F-3, M.I.D.C. Area, Marol, Andheri East,
Mumbai – 400093

...Respondent No. 2

Parties Present in Petition 276/MP/2025:

Shri Basava Prabhu Patil, Sr. Advocate, SRI4PL
Ms. Nishtha Kumar, Advocate, SRI4PL
Shri Janmali Manikala, Advocate, SRI4PL
Shri Shubham Arya, Advocate, CTUIL
Ms. Reeha Singh, Advocate, CTUIL
Shri Harshvardhan, Advocate, CTUIL
Ms. Muskan Agarwal, CTUIL
Shri Lashit Sharma, CTUIL
Ms. Priyansi Jadiya, CTUIL
Ms. Kavya Bhardwaj, CTUIL

Parties Present in Petition No 346/MP/2025 and 347/MP/2025:

Shri Vishrov Mukerjee, Advocate, ReNew Green
Shri Girik Bhalla, Advocate, ReNew Green
Ms. Juhi Senguttuvan, Advocate, ReNew Green
Shri Shubham Arya, Advocate, CTUIL
Ms. Pallavi Saigal, Advocate, CTUIL
Shri Rishabh Saxena, Advocate, CTUIL
Shri Harshvardhan, Advocate, CTUIL
Ms. Muskan Agarwal, CTUIL
Shri Lashit Sharma, CTUIL
Ms. Priyansi Jadiya, CTUIL
Ms. Kavya Bhardwaj, CTUIL
Shri Yogeshwar, CTUIL

ORDER

The instant Petitions have been filed by the respective Petitioner(s) under Section 79 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulations 41 and 42 of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access to the inter-State Transmission System) Regulations, 2022, praying, to quash the Connectivity revocation notice issued by the CTUIL and direct the CTUIL to refrain

from revocation of Connectivity granted to the Petitioner(s) and also to provide the additional time to the Petitioner(s) to achieve the CoD of the Project.

2. The Petitioner(s) in the respective Petition(s) have made the following prayers:

Petition No. 276/MP/2025

- a. *Exercise powers under Regulation 41 and 42 of the GNA Regulations 2022 to hold and declare as a principle that 6 months under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations ought to be considered with effect from 31.12.2024;*
- b. *Quash and set aside the Revocation Notices dated 24.01.2025 issued by CTUIL to Petitioner/ Serentica 4 under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations and consequently direct CTUIL to refrain from revoking the connectivity granted to Serentica 4 for 200MW;*
- c. *Extend the validity of the connectivity for 200 MW capacity up to the August 2026 or the actual date of commissioning of the Project, subject to grant of all statutory approvals, which has been delayed on account of uncontrollable events not attributable to Serentica 4; and*
- d. *Pass such other order or orders which are deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.*

IA No 15/2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

- a. *Stay the operation of the Revocation Notices dated 02.05.2023 issued by CTUIL;*
- b. *In the interim and during the pendency of the present Petition, injunct CTUIL from taking any coercive action including and not limited to revocation, suspension or cancellation with respect to the existing Connectivity dated 01.03.2024 for 200 MW granted to Serentica 4; and*
- c. *Pass any such other and further reliefs as this Hon'ble Commission deems just and proper in the nature and circumstances of the present case.*

3. The Petitioner, vide affidavit dated 8.3.2025 has submitted that due to an inadvertent typographical error, the date of Notice as stated in the prayer clause (a), in I.A. No.15 of 2025, has been inadvertently stated as "02.05.2023" instead of "24.01.2025".

IA No. 29/2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

- a. *Allow the present application and grant urgent listing of the Petition and all accompanying applications on 13.03.2025 for hearing; and*

- b. *Pass any such other and further reliefs as this Hon'ble Commission deems just and proper in the nature and circumstances of the present case.*

IA No 30/2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

- a. *Stay the operation of the Revocation Letter dated 10.03.2025 issued by CTUIL during the pendency of the present Petition;*
- b. *Direct CTUIL to maintain status quo and not take any further coercive action; and*
- c. *Pass any such other and further reliefs as this Hon'ble Commission deems just and fit in the nature and circumstances of the present case.*

Petition No. 346/MP/2025

- (a) *Quash and set aside the Revocation Notice dated 11.03.2025 issued by CTUIL to Petitioner No. 2 in respect of 117 MW connectivity under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations and consequently direct CTUIL to refrain from revoking the connectivity granted to the Petitioner No. 2;*
- (b) *Hold and declare that no third-party interest be created in respect of Petitioner No. 2's 117 MW the connectivity at the Kallam PS.*
- (c) *Quash and set aside the Show Cause Notices dated 24.01.2025 issued by CTUIL to Petitioner No. 2 under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations;*
- (d) *Extend the validity of the connectivity for 117 MW capacity, in issue, up to 30.06.2025 as commissioning of the Project, has been delayed on account of uncontrollable events not attributable to the Petitioners;*
- (e) *In the interim, This Hon'ble Commission may be pleased to:*
- (i) *Stay operation of the Revocation Notice dated 11.03.2025 issued by CTUIL in respect of 117 MW connectivity till final disposal of the present petition;*
- (ii) *Injunct CTUIL from allocating Petitioner No. 2's 117 MW Connectivity at Kallam PS to any other developer, till pendency of the present proceedings; and*
- (iii) *Direct CTUIL to not take any coercive action against the Petitioner No. 2's connectivity in respect of which the Revocation Notice dated 11.03.2025 has been issued; and*
- (f) *Pass such other order or orders which are deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.*

IA No. 23/2025 in Petition No 346/MP/2025

- (a) *Stay operation of the Revocation Notice dated 11.03.2025 issued by CTUIL in respect of 117 MW connectivity till final disposal of the present petition;*
- (b) *Injunct CTUIL from allocating Petitioner No. 2's 117 MW Connectivity at Kallam PS to any other developer, till pendency of the present proceedings;*
- (c) *Direct CTUIL to not take any coercive action against the Petitioner No. 2's connectivity in respect of which the Revocation Notice dated 11.03.2025 has been issued;*
- (d) *Urgently list and hear the present Petition on or before 18.03.2025 or at any other date as per this Hon'ble Commission's earliest convenience; and*
- Pass such other order or orders which are deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case*

Petition No. 347/MP/2025

- (a) *Quash and set aside the Revocation Notices dated 11.03.2025 issued by CTUIL to ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt Ltd. under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations in respect of 100 MW Solar connectivity granted on 02.02.2024, 76 MW Wind connectivity granted on 02.02.2024 and 48 MW Wind connectivity granted on 02.02.2024;*
- (b) *Hold and declare that no third-party interest be created in respect of 224 MW connectivity (100 MW Solar, 76 MW Wind and 48 MW Wind) granted to ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt Ltd. at the Solapur PS;*
- (c) *Quash and set aside the Show Cause Notices dated 24.01.2025 issued by CTUIL to ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt Ltd. under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations;*
- (d) *Extend the validity of the following connectivity granted to ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt Ltd:*
 - (i) *100 MW Solar connectivity be extended till 13.03.2025, being the actual COD*
 - (ii) *76 MW Wind connectivity be extended till 30.06.2025.*
 - (iii) *48 MW Wind Connectivity be extended till 30.06.2025.*
- (e) *In the interim, this Hon'ble Commission may be pleased to: -*
 - (i) *Stay operation of the Revocation Notices dated 11.03.2025 issued by CTUIL in respect of 100 MW (Solar), 76 MW (Wind) and 48 MW (Wind) connectivity till final disposal of the present Petition;*
 - (ii) *Injunct CTUIL from allocating ReNew's connectivity's [100 MW (Solar), 76 MW (Wind) and 48 MW (Wind)] at Solapur PS to any other developer, till pendency of the present proceedings; and*
 - (iii) *Direct CTUIL that no coercive action be taken against ReNew's connectivity in respect of which Revocation Notices dated 11.03.2025 have been issued; and*
- (f) *Pass such other order or orders which are deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.*

IA No. 24/2025 in Petition No 347/MP/2025

- a) *Stay operation of the Revocation Notices dated 11.03.2025 issued by CTUIL in respect of 100 MW (Solar), 76 MW (Wind) and 48 MW (Wind) connectivity till final disposal of the present Petition;*
- b) *Injunct CTUIL from allocating ReNew's connectivity's [100 MW (Solar), 76 MW (Wind) and 48 MW (Wind)] at Solapur PS to any other developer, till pendency of the present proceedings; and*
- c) *Direct CTUIL that no coercive action be taken against ReNew's connectivity in respect of which Revocation Notices dated 11.03.2025 have been issued; and*
- d) *Pass such other order or orders which are deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.*

Submissions of Petitioner in Petition 276/MP/2025

4. The Petitioner has made the following submissions:
- (a) The Petitioner, Serentica 4, applied for Stage-II connectivity on 10.08.2022 under the land route for the 210 MW Wind Project, which was granted by CTUIL on 13.10.2022 with a start date of 01.07.2024. Serentica 4 has, *inter alia*, acquired nearly 100% of the land required, achieved Financial Closure, placed orders for all Wind Turbine Generators (“**WTGs**”) with rated capacity of 3.3 MW, commenced requisite civil works for the WTG sites, erected Wind Turbines and completed construction of the Pooling Sub-station (“**PSS**”).
- (b) On 19.01.2023, Serentica 4 applied for the grant of the Long Term Access (“LTA”) under 2 applications, 100 MW to commence from 31.12.2024 till 30.12.2049 and LTA for 100 MW to commence from 31.03.2025 till 30.03.2050. The drawal point of power was to be Kankroli, Rajasthan. According to the Petitioner, 200 MW is part of 350 MW and has furnished various details of the balance project of 150 MW.
- (c) Upon coming into effect of the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (Connectivity and General Network Access to the inter-State Transmission System) Regulations, 2022 (hereinafter referred to as “the GNA Regulations), on 02.05.2023, Serentica 4 filed Applications for transition of connectivity from the Connectivity Regulations 2009 to the GNA Regulations.
- (d) In the 20th CMETS-WR Meeting held on 04.08.2023, where CTUIL *inter alia* recorded that the start date sought for LTA for 100 MW is 31.12.2024 and the balance 100 MW as 31.03.2025. However, it was ultimately decided that connectivity would be operationalised from 31.12.2024 for 200 MW cumulatively.
- (e) On 03.10.2023, Serentica 4 wrote to CTUIL intimating the shift of the generation pooling sub-station from Beed to Osmanabad district and requested that the Project location be updated on the grounds of better availability of wind for generation, and reduced length of transmission infrastructure.
- (f) On 01.11.2023, as a part of the transition, CTUIL granted in-principle connectivity at Kallam PS for 200 MW (Wind). On 01.03.2024, CTUIL intimated the final grant of connectivity for 200 MW at Kallam PS at 1 no. 220 kV bay, i.e., Bay No. 209, with SCOD of the bay at ISTS end as 10.06.2024. The start date

of Connectivity was specified as 31.12.2024, subject to the availability of Common Transmission System augmentation for Connectivity under GNA.

(g) On 04.11.2024, Serentica 4 requested MEDA for expeditious approval of its land applications and registrations for its WTGs. Out of the 63 sites aggregating 210 MW wind capacity, a total of 47 approvals have been received from MEDA, whereas 16 applications are still under process. Out of the overall 102 Applications for the 350 MW, including the 200 MW in question, 36 Applications with respect to 350 MW are still pending with MEDA, corresponding to 118.8 MW.

(h) On 16.01.2025, Serentica 4 filed Conn-4/ Conn-TD detailing the technical parameters for the entire 350 MW Project, including the details of the PSS and the 220kV dedicated transmission line connecting the PSS to the Kalam Substation. On 24.01.2025, CTUIL vide two separate letters issued notice in terms of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations and sought an update on the commissioning of the 100 MW capacity each for Serentica 4's Project, corresponding to each LTA Application No. 0431400004 and 0231400004, not later than 15 days after issuance of this notice.

(i) On 04.02.2025, Serentica 4 responded to the CTUIL Revocation Notices, stating that it had already incurred close to Rs. 1,187.86 Crore on developmental activities till date, and project works were affected on account of uncontrollable and unforeseen events. Serentica 4 requested CTUIL to calculate the timeline for revocation under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations (i.e. 6-months from the start date of connectivity indicated by Serentica 4 in its Transition Application) from 31.12.2024 as opposed to 01.07.2024.

(j) On 07.02.2025, CTUIL issued an Invoice corresponding to raising an amount of Rs.19,355/- payable by Serentica 4, as bilateral charges.

(k) Through the present Petition, Serentica 4 has challenged the CTUIL's Revocation Notices dated 24.01.2025 by invoking powers of this Commission under Regulation 41 (Power to Relax) and Regulation 42 (Power to Remove Difficulty) of the GNA Regulations to issue directions to CTUIL to consider the start date for the period of 6 months in terms of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations as 31.12.2024 (i.e., the start date of connectivity).

(l) Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations is not applicable to the present case. Regulation 24.6 in dealing with the revocation of connectivity refers to cases falling under Regulation 5.8, i.e., envisaging applications filed directly under the GNA Regulations. Therefore, the timelines mentioned under Regulation 24.6 would also not be applicable to the present case, since admittedly, the Stage-II connectivity application by Serentica 4 had been filed under the erstwhile regime of the 2009 Connectivity Regulations, and subsequently Serentica 4 had sought transition under the GNA Regulations, in May 2023 *vide* the Transition Applications.

(m) Assuming that Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations is applicable to the present case, then *mutatis mutandis* changes would have to be applied for transition cases, treating the transition applications as applications under the GNA Regulations, for the purposes of Regulation 5.8. In other words, the date mentioned in the Transition Applications by Serentica 4, would have to be considered for the purposes of Regulation 24.6, read with Regulation 5.8.

(n) Serentica 4 thereafter sought a connectivity start date of 31.12.2024 and 31.03.2025 for the 100 MW + 100 MW in the Transition Applications dated 02.05.2023, respectively. In the final grant of connectivity by CTUIL *vide* Letter dated 01.03.2024, the connectivity start date was granted as 31.12.2024 for 200 MW, as a whole.

(o) As a consequence of CTUIL's decision to consider 01.07.2024, i.e. the date mentioned in the application under the erstwhile regime, for computing 6 months, a peculiar situation has arisen wherein the connectivity for 200 MW was operationalized on 31.12.2024 and is liable to be revoked the next day, i.e., 01.01.2025. Such an approach, if implemented, would lead to an anomalous situation where a project would be expected to commission well before the start date of connectivity, resulting in not only operational challenges but also rendering the period of 6 months under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) redundant. The period of 6 months is applicable in cases where the date of commissioning and the start date of connectivity coincide and are aligned together.

(p) Serentica 4 has executed a PDA dated 02.09.2022 with HZL for the supply of 200 MW RTC power. Any revocation of connectivity at this stage would strand the 200 MW and would hinder the supply of power by Serentica 4 to its customer.

(q) The events, which have adversely impacted Serentica 4's progress in terms of commissioning the Project in a timely manner, are as detailed hereunder:

i. Issues on account of Micro-Siting of WTGs: Serentica 4 requires approval from MEDA for siting its WTGs in terms of Micro-Siting Guidelines, 2007 issued by MEDA. Out of 102 locations for the 350 MW wind capacity, 63 locations pertain to the corresponding 200 MW in question. Despite having commenced applying as early as 14.02.2023, there have been inordinate delay by MEDA for the grant of approvals. Of the 63 sites required for 200 MW, MEDA approval is still pending for 16 sites. With regard to the 47 approved sites, notably, there was a delay in MEDA approval for 37 WTG sites, with only 10 approvals granted on time.

ii. Unprecedented Rainfall: From July to October 2024, there was unprecedented rainfall in Osmanabad, which led to the complete stoppage of works at **the** Project site, including road development, casting of plinth for the WTGs, civil works, etc. Nearly 113 workdays were affected by excessive rainfall at the site.

iii. RoW Issues: Serentica 4 has faced severe RoW issues such as agitation by farmers, political intervention, etc., which significantly hindered the progress of the **Project**. During the period, access to the site was restricted, and work could not be carried out at a number of locations, hindering the progress of project works, including activities for mitigating the impact of other uncontrollable events such as heavy rainfall.

iv. Land Conversion Issues: Serentica 4 has acquired nearly 100% of the land, i.e., approximately 778 acres, required for setting up 350 MW of wind capacity. However, of the total 63 locations earmarked for approximately 210 MW, conversion of land from agricultural to non-agricultural use is still pending for all locations. Serentica 4 has written to various authorities, such as the District Collector of Dharashiv and the Talathi Office of Tandalwai and Vashi, seeking to expedite the processing of land conversion. However, this was of no avail as the applications are still pending.

v. Delay in PTCC approval for 33kV internal transmission network and 220 kV DTL: Serentica 4 had filed PTCC approval applications starting from

13.04.2024; however, there has been a significant delay in the approval process.

(r) Serentica 4 has undertaken substantial Project development activities as under:

- i. Nearly 100% of the land has been acquired for a 350 MW capacity, amounting to approximately 778.38 acres,
- ii. Raised debt of USD 424.44 million on 14.12.2023 from various international financial institutions,
- iii. Ordered 106 WTGs, out of which 46 WTGs are delivered on site,
- iv. Installed major components of the PSS required for the Project, including Transformers, Main Control Room and carried out testing activities,
- v. Commenced construction works for the DTL of 14kms and commenced stringing works as well.
- vi. Commenced installation of the WTGs, of which 25 WTGs have already been installed as on date,
- vii. On 16.01.2025, Serentica 4 has also filed Conn-4/Conn-TD detailing the technical parameters for the entire 350 MW Project, including the details of the PSS and the 220 kV dedicated transmission line connecting the PSS to the Kalam Substation.

(s) Serentica 4 has incurred expenditure of approximately Rs.1,187.86 Crore on the developmental activities so far. This evinces Serentica 4's commitment and *bona fides* to completing the Project. In view of the current status of pending approvals and the progress made in the project, Serentica 4 would likely be able to commission the project as per the tentative schedule:

S.No.	Capacity	Tentative Commissioning schedule
1	50 MW	November 2025
2	50 MW	January 2026
3	83 MW	April 2026
4	80 MW	June 2026
5	87 MW	December 2026

(t) The mandate of the Electricity Act, 2003 (the Act) is also expressly provided in Clauses 5.2.20 and 5.12.1 of the National Electricity Policy 2005 and Clause 4(e) of the Revised Tariff Policy 2016 framed under Section 3 of the Act,

in terms of which generation of power from renewable energy projects is to be promoted. Pertinently, the Hon`ble Supreme Court in Energy Watchdog v. Central Electricity Regulatory Commission reported in [(2017) 14 SCC 80 (Para 28, 57)] has held that the National Electricity Policy 2005 and the Revised Tariff Policy 2016 have statutory force and are binding on all stakeholders. Accordingly, any adverse action with regard to the Connectivity granted to Serentica 4 would have significant adverse consequences, including the loss of renewable energy generation, loss of substantial financial investments, as well as potential employment opportunities.

(u) It would be apposite to note at this stage that the Commission *vide* Order dated 25.11.2024 in Petition No. 326/MP/2024 titled ACME Solar Holdings Ltd. & Anr. V. CTUIL granted extension of date of commissioning while retaining the connectivity.

(v) Without prejudice to the foregoing submissions, the Commission may permit Serentica 4 to retain the connectivity as granted by CTUIL, for which Serentica 4 would pay bilateral charges as per invoices raised by CTUIL from time to time, in lieu of the delay in commissioning, as opposed to revoking connectivity in line with CTUIL's Revocation Notices dated 24.01.2025.

(w) Serentica 4 is currently already incurring Interest During Construction ("IDC") charges as Serentica 4 has invested Rs.1,187.86 crore in the project till date. Therefore, it is not in the interest of Serentica 4 to delay its project any further, as it is attracting a penalty under the CERC Sharing Regulations and also incurring IDC charges till the time the project is operational.

Submissions of Petitioner in IA No. 15/2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

5. The Petitioner, vide IA No. 15/2025 has reiterated its submissions made under the main Petition and has prayed to stay the operation of the Revocation Notice issued by CTUIL and an interim direction to injunct CTUIL from taking any coercive action.

Submissions of Petitioners in Petition No. 346/MP/2025

6. The Petitioners have made the submissions as below:

(a) Petitioner No. 1, ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd. is a renewable energy project developer and is in the process of setting up a 1000 MW hybrid project in Maharashtra in two phases. Petitioner No. 2, ReNew Green (MHP One) Pvt. Ltd., is a Special Purpose Vehicle incorporated by Petitioner No.1 for developing a 150 MW Wind Power Project in Beed, Maharashtra.

(b) On 26.04.2022, Petitioner No. 2 applied for 117 MW (Wind) Stage-II connectivity at Kallam PS, with 50% land documents and release of 10% funds, with a Start date of connectivity and commissioning schedule as 30.06.2023. The Petitioner has submitted details of the balance of 33 MW.

(c) On 16.06.2022, CTUIL wrote to Petitioner No. 2 intimating the grant of 117 MW (Wind) Stage-II Connectivity, at Kallam PS, where Bay at ISTS substation end is already under implementation as a part of ISTS, and the Start date of connectivity granted was 30.06.2023. On the effectiveness of the GNA Regulations, Petitioner No. 2 wrote to CTUIL seeking to transition the connectivity of 117 MW to GNA under Regulation 37.2 of the GNA Regulations. The start date of connectivity sought was 31.01.2024.

(d) On 23.08.2023, Power Finance Corporation Ltd. ("PFC") sanctioned for the Petitioner No. 2, a term loan of Rs. 975 crore with a debt-equity ratio of 75:25, wherein debt was Rs. 975 crore and equity was Rs. 325 crore, to set up a 148.5 MW wind project.

(e) On 02.11.2023, CTUIL intimated in-principle grant of connectivity for 117 MW at Kallam PS under the GNA Regulations, with a start date of connectivity granted as 31.01.2024, subject to augmentation of the Common Transmission System, where the scheduled date of commissioning of the augmentation of the Common Transmission System allocated for connectivity was 30.11.2023. On 18.01.2024, CTUIL intimated the final grant of connectivity for 117 MW under Regulation 37.2 of the GNA Regulations, with a start date of 31.01. 2024.

(f) CEA on 17.07.2024 granted approval for energisation of electrical installation of 16 WTGs (for a total of 52.80 MW) along with associated 69 km 33 kV DOG and Panther Line for 150 MW Wind Project, electrical installation of 128/160 MVA, 220/33kV PSS Equipment.

(g) On 09.08.2024, CTUIL intimated that GNA was to be made effective from 10.08.2024 for 117 MW. On 09.10.2024, CTUIL and Petitioner No. 2 executed a

Connectivity Agreement for 148.5 MW at Beed, out of 150 MW connectivity granted to Petitioner No. 2 [FORMAT-CONN-CA-5-Cat-2].

(h) On 24.01.2025, CTUIL issued notice to Petitioner No.2 *inter alia*, stating that in terms of (ii) of Regulation 24.6(1)(a), connectivity is liable to be revoked for 117 MW on account of failure to commission the project, six months after the scheduled date of commercial operation as intimated at the time of making application for the grant of Connectivity. Petitioner No. 2 had submitted 30.06.2023 as the SCD at the time of making its application for the grant of Connectivity. Accordingly, the last date for compliance as per Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations was 30.12.2023. Petitioner No. 2 was requested to share/update the information regarding commissioning of the balance capacity of 117 MW, not later than 15 days after issuance of this notice, for appropriate action in terms of Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations.

(i) On 6.02.2025, Petitioner No. 2, responded to CTUIL's Revocation Show cause Notice, *inter alia* informing CTUIL regarding the progress of the project and the issues faced by Petitioner No.2, requesting CTUIL not to revoke the Connectivity.

(j) On 11.03.2025, CTUIL issued Revocation Notice for 117 MW connectivity granted to Petitioner No. 2, stating that Petitioner No. 2 failed to commission the Project within the timeline stipulated under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations, i.e., by 30.12.2023 being 6 months from the SCOD indicated in the connectivity application (30.06.2023). Since connectivity was made effective on 10.08.2024 and Petitioner No. 2 has confirmed, vide letter dated 06.02.2025, that 117 MW has not yet been commissioned, the entire connectivity of 117 MW is liable to be revoked.

(k) Through the present Petition, Petitioner No. 2 has challenged the CTUIL's Revocation Notice dated 11.03.2025 revoking the 117 MW connectivity granted to Petitioner No. 2. Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations is not applicable to the present case, and for the purposes of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii), the 6 months period ought to be considered from the start date of connectivity.

(l) The Commission may exercise its Power to Relax and may direct CTUIL to not revoke the connectivity granted to the Petitioners, in respect of which CTUIL has issued Show cause notices dated 24.01.2025. According to the

Petitioner, delays in commissioning the Projects are not attributable to Petitioner No. 2 and have not been caused due to any lapse of the Petitioner. In fact, Petitioner No. 2 have taken all possible steps to mitigate such delays. Further, Petitioner No. 2 is committed to setting up the Project and is heavily invested in the Project. Construction of the entire 600 MW capacity is in advanced stages and is likely to be commissioned by March 2025.

(m) The Project has been impacted by uncontrollable and unforeseen events, which were beyond its control. The events as detailed hereunder have had a cascading effect and adversely impacted Petitioner No 2's progress in terms of commissioning the Project in a timely manner, as under:

i. RoW issues: Petitioner No. 2 faced severe RoW issues, such on account of agitation by farmers, landowners, political intervention, etc, especially during October 2024 to March 2025

ii. Construction of EHV and 33kV lines: Petitioner No 2 had finished the foundation and erection works for the requisite 118 transmission towers. The project has been physically ready, and only 4.98 km of the EHV Line stringing remains pending due to escalated agitations over the issue of Maratha reservations in the state of Maharashtra.

iii. Continuous Rainfall: Petitioner No. 2's Wind Power Project is predominantly located in Beed District in Maharashtra. As per data received from the Mahavedh website maintained by the Department of Agriculture, Government of Maharashtra ("GOM"), Beed district as a whole received more than 100% rainfall during June to September 2024. A total of 122 workdays were affected by the excessive rainfall, as recorded by GOM in the circle-wise rainfall report available on the Maharain website.

iv. Ambiguity/delay in obtaining MOD Clearances: On 04.01.2024, the National Institute of Wind Energy ("NIWE") issued a Wind Potential Sites map, which demarcates the following areas in terms of setting up the WTGs and wind power projects:

- a. No WTG zone: Areas where WTGs are not to be installed,
- b. NoC to be obtained: Areas where WTGs can be installed, after obtaining NoC from MoD,

- c. NoC Not to be obtained: Areas where WTGs can be installed without any NoC from MoD,

A significant portion of the future wind potential zone in Maharashtra overlaps with the No WTG Zone and affects existing and planned wind projects, especially those connecting with the Kallam substation, such as Petitioner No. 2 herein.

(n) Despite the foregoing challenges, Petitioner No. 2 is dedicated to commissioning the Project at the earliest. Petitioner No. 2 has undertaken substantial Project development activities as under:

- i. 35 WTGs are needed for generating 117 MW in issue. 45 WTGs are for 150 MW. As of the date of issuance of the Revocation Notice, the status of WTGs is as under:
 - a. Complete land in respect of 117 MW in issue has been acquired.
 - b. Supply of 43 WTGs completed, which includes Blade, Hub and Nacelle.
 - c. Foundation work for 117 MW in issue is completed.
 - d. Erection work for a capacity of 117 MW has been completed.
- ii. The total expenditure incurred for the project works carried out so far is Rs. 1,181 crore, amounting to 90% of the total project costs. Further, Rs. 490 crore of equity has been deployed, and Petitioner No. 2 is incurring additional interest during construction.
- iii. Works at the Pooling Station, under the scope of Petitioner No. 2 are already completed, and CEA's approval for energisation has already been received as on 17.07.2024.
- iv. Necessary approvals, such as Sections 68 and 164 approvals, have already been obtained. Additionally, Petitioner No. 2 has already obtained the following approvals:
 - a. CEA's Energisation Approval in respect of 16 WTGs received on 17.07.2024
 - b. CON-4 (Technical Connection Details) have been approved by CTUIL on 23.09.2024, post which CON-6 (Connectivity Agreement) has been signed on 09.10.2024.

- v. Construction of the Dedicated Transmission Line / EHV is also more than 95% completed. The DTL comprises 118 overhead line towers with a total line length of about 32.4 km. The details are as under:
 - a. Foundation and Erection works for 118 out of 118 towers are completed (100% completed).
 - b. Stringing works for 27.4 km out of 32.4 km is completed, and the balance of 5 km is left (about 85% completed). The balance stringing has been impacted by ROW issues.
- vi. PPA has already been executed on 08.03.2022 with a customer, wherein the Schedule Commencement of Supply Date was specified as in March 2025.
- (o) Petitioner No. 2 would likely be able to commission the 117 MW Wind Project by 30.06.2025.

Petitioners' Submissions in IA No. 23/2025 under Petition No. 346/MP/2025:

7. The Petitioners, vide IA No. 23/2025, have mainly prayed to stay the Revocation Notice dated 11.03.2025 issued by CTUIL, injunct the CTUIL from taking any coercive action against Petitioner No. 2's connectivity, and injunct the CTUIL from allocating Petitioner No. 2's 117 MW Connectivity at Kallam PS to any other developer.

Submissions of the Petitioners in Petition No. 347/MP/2025

8. The Petitioner has made the submission as below:
- (a) On 10.10.2022, the Petitioner, ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd. ("ReNew") applied for Stage II connectivity of 100 MW at Solapur, Maharashtra from 30.06.2024. On 12.01.2023, CTUIL granted Stage II connectivity at Solapur with a start date of 31.03.2024.
 - (b) On 02.05.2023, upon coming into effect of the GNA Regulations, ReNew sought transition of connectivity of 100 MW (Solar) in terms of Regulation 37.2 of the GNA Regulations with a start date of 30.06.2024. On 02.11.2023, CTUIL intimated the in-principle grant of connectivity, and on 02.02.2024, CTUIL intimated the final grant of connectivity for 100 MW with a start date of

connectivity as 30.06.2024. On 25.04.2024, ReNew and CTUIL executed an Agreement for connectivity to the ISTS network with respect to 100 MW.

(c) CEA granted approval for energisation to ReNew Green (MHS One) Pvt. Ltd. (SPV of ReNew), for the 100 MW Solar power Project at Solapur and associated works on 29.7.2024, 1.8.2024 and 14.10.2024. In January 2025, WRLDC issued Provisional Approval for charging and trial run in respect of ReNew's connectivity at Solapur.

(d) On 24.01.2025, CTUIL issued notice in terms of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations stating that connectivity is liable to be revoked for 100 MW on account of failure to commission the project, ReNew had submitted 30.06.2024 as the SCD at the time of making its application for the grant of Connectivity and the last date of compliance as per Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations was 30.12.2024. ReNew was requested to submit the status of commissioning of the balance capacity of 100 MW, not later than 15 days after issuance of this notice.

(e) On 06.02.2025, ReNew responded to CTUIL's Revocation Notice, submitting the status of its project. Subsequently, CTUIL raised the bill of transmission charges every month starting from 1.8.2024. On 10.03.2025, CTUIL issued a Revocation Notice for 100 MW.

(f) WRLDC vide letter dated 11.03.2025 issued Certificate for Completion of Trial Run of the solar 100 MW capacity wherein the trial run for 100 MW solar Project of ReNew Green MHS One Pvt. Ltd. (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Petitioner) was completed on 10.02.2025. The COD is stated to be achieved on 13.03.2025.

Submission in respect of 76 MW and 48MW Connectivity (Wind)

(g) CTUIL granted Stage II connectivity for 76 MW at Solapur for Renew on 12.1.2023, with a start date of 31.03.2024. On 08.03.2023, ReNew sought enhancement of connectivity by 48 MW. On 02.05.2023, ReNew sought the transition of connectivity of 76 MW (Wind) in terms of Regulation 37.2 of the GNA Regulations and sought a connectivity start date of 30.06.2024. On 02.11.2023 and on 02.02.2024, CTUIL intimated the in-principle grant of connectivity and final grant of connectivity, respectively, for 76 MW with a start date of connectivity on 30.06.2024. On 25.04.2024, CTUIL, ReNew, and CTUIL executed an

Agreement for connectivity [FORMAT-CONN-CA-S-(Cat 1)] with the ISTS network with respect to 76 MW (Application No.231400011 - Wind).

(h) On 02.05.2023, ReNew sought the transition of connectivity of 48 MW (Wind) in terms of Regulation 37.1 of the GNA Regulations with a start date of 30.06.2024. On 13.11.2023 and 02.02.2024, CTUIL intimated the in-principle grant of connectivity and the final grant of connectivity, respectively, for 48 MW at Solapur, Maharashtra, with a start date of connectivity as 30.06.2024. On 25.04.2024, CTUIL, ReNew, and CTUIL executed an Agreement for connectivity [FORMAT-CONN-CA-S-(Cat 1)] with the ISTS network with respect to 48 MW.

(i) On 24.01.2025, CTUIL issued notice in terms of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations for 76MW and for 48MW connectivity. In response, the Petitioner on 6.2.2025, provided the details of the progress made towards the construction and commissioning of the Project.

(j) On 10.03.2025, CTUIL issued Revocation Notice for 76 MW stating that ReNew failed to commission the Project within the timelines stipulated under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations i.e., by 30.12.2024 being 6 months from the SCOD indicated in the connectivity application (30.06.2024) and since the connectivity was made effective on 30.06.2024 and ReNew has confirmed vide letter dated 06.02.2025 that 76 MW is not yet commissioned, the entire connectivity of 76 MW is liable to be revoked. Similarly, on 10.03.2025, CTUIL issued Revocation Notice for 48 MW stating that ReNew failed to commission the Project within the timelines stipulated under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations, i.e., by 30.09.2024, being 6 months from the SCOD indicated in the connectivity application (31.03.2024). Since connectivity was made effective on 30.06.2024 and ReNew has confirmed vide letter dated 06.02.2025 that 48 MW is not yet commissioned, the entire connectivity of 48 MW is liable to be revoked.

(k) The delay in commissioning beyond the control of the Petitioner, due to Right of Way related issues, Continuous and excessive rainfall, and Delays in issuance of MoD Clearance for WTGs.

(l) ReNew is developing a 1000 MW power project in Maharashtra, which will be implemented in 2 Phases under different SPVs as under:

	Quantum (MW)	Source
--	---------------------	---------------

Phase 1	403	Solar
	197	Wind
Phase 2	403	Wind

(m) However, the present Petition has been restricted to connectivity corresponding to 224 MW in relation to which CTUIL has issued Revocation Notices dated 24.01.2025. Given that the project is being implemented in a consolidated manner across Phase 1 and Phase 2, this Commission may be pleased to examine the overall project progress as the connectivity of 100 MW, 76 MW and 48 MW is intrinsically linked to ReNew's overall capacity and cannot be viewed in isolation.

(n) Through the present Petition, ReNew has challenged the CTUIL's Revocation Notices dated 24.01.2025. ReNew has made significant efforts and investments in developing and commissioning its 600 MW wind capacity Project, including utilising the 224 MW connectivity in issue (100 MW Solar, 76 MW Wind and 48 MW Wind). However, the Project has been impacted by uncontrollable and unforeseen events, which were beyond its control, and have adversely impacted the commissioning of the Project. The events, as detailed hereunder, have had a cascading effect and adversely impacted ReNew's progress in terms of commissioning the Project in a timely manner.

Progress towards the implementation of the project

For Solar capacity

(o) For solar capacity of 100 MW, work is completed. The 100 MW was charged on 22.01.2025 and has successfully completed trial runs on 11.03.2025. The COD is stated to be achieved on 13.03.2025. Construction works for the DTL of 13.3km have been completed, and the line was charged on 18.01.2025.

(p) Conn-4 (Technical Connection Details) has been approved by CTUIL on 24.10.2024 for the 100 MW capacity.

For Wind capacity

(q) For the wind capacity of 197 MW, a total of 60 WTGs are needed. Approximately 37 WTGs are required to be generated 124 MW of wind capacity (76 MW + 48 MW). As on 31.12.2024, the status of WTGs is as under:

- i. Land for 54 WTGs has already been acquired (i.e., over 90 % land has been acquired).

- ii. Foundation: completed for 48 out of 60 WTGs.
 - iii. Erection: 37 out of the 60 WTGs (total for a capacity of 125 MW) have been erected. WTGs for generating 124 MW to utilise the connectivity issue have been erected. While this is for the complete 197 MW wind capacity, for the 124 MW wind connectivity in issue (76 MW + 48 MW), about 37 WTGs would suffice. Works in respect of these WTGs have been completed.
- (r) On 22.01.2025, ReNew has applied to CEA for issuance of approval for energisation of 102 MW (31 WTGs).
- (s) Term Loan of Rs. 1586.40 crore has been sanctioned by PFC Ltd. for a substantial part of the capacity (including the 224 MW in issue in the present petition). Out of this, approximately Rs. 690 crore have already been disbursed
- (t) ReNew through its SPVs has incurred about Rs. 2681 crore till 31.12.2024. Construction of the Dedicated Transmission Line / EHV is also completed, with minor stringing left. The DTL entails 116 towers for overhead lines with a total line length of about 45.45 km, whose foundation and erection are 100% completed, and Stringing works for 39.17 km are completed and the remaining 6.28 km is left (about 87% completed).
- (u) In view of the current status of pending approvals and the progress made in the project, the project is likely to be commissioned as per the following tentative schedule:

S.N.	Capacity	Tentative Commissioning schedule
1.	100 MW	Already commissioned on 13.03.2025
2.	76 MW	30.06.2025
3.	48 MW	30.06.2025

- (v) The Petitioner is in the best position to execute, implement, and commission the 224 MW (100 MW Solar and 124 MW Wind) capacity in issue, which is part of the broader 600 MW connectivity obtained and granted to the Petitioner at Solapur Sub-station.
- (w) Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations is not applicable to the present case, and for the purposes of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii), the 6-month period ought to be considered from the start date of connectivity.

Submissions of Petitioner in IA 24/2025 in Petition No. 347/MP/2025

9. The Petitioner vide IA No. 24/2025 has prayed to stay the Revocation Notice dated 11.03.2025 issued by CTUIL, injunct the CTUIL from taking any coercive action against the Petitioner's connectivity, and injunct the CTUIL from allocating Petitioner's 100MW, 76MW and 48MW connectivity at Solapur PS to any other developer.

Hearing dated 27.02.2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

10. The Petition was admitted, and IA 16/2025 for urgent listing was disposed of.

Submissions of the Petitioner in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

11. The Petitioner, vide affidavit dated 08.03.2025, has submitted the following, in compliance with the RoP for the hearing dated 27.02.2025:

(a) Due to an inadvertent typographical error, the date of Notice as stated in the prayer clause (a), in I.A. No.15 of 2025, has been inadvertently stated as "02.05.2023" instead of "24.01.2025". The correct date of the Notices issued by CTUIL, against which interim relief has been sought, is 24.01.2025. Accordingly, the date under prayer (a) in IS No. 15/2025 may be read as 24.01.2025; all remaining submissions and prayers in this IA may be read as is, without any change or modifications.

(b) Serentica 4 has made significant progress in implementing the 200 MW wind project.

i.**Land Acquisition:** The total land requirement for 200 MW wind capacity is 428.4 acres, i.e., 63 Wind Turbine Generators ("**WTGs**") of 3.3 MW capacity each requiring 6.8 acres of land each. Additionally, 30 acres of land are required to facilitate access to the WTGs, for which easement rights have been acquired. As of date, Serentica 4 has acquired 100% of the land required.

ii.**Financial Closure:** Serentica 4 has achieved Financial Closure and secured debt of USD 424.44 million as on 04.12.2023, from international lenders.

iii.**Order and supply of WTGs:** Serentica 4 has placed an order on 05.09.2023 for 106 WTGs with Envision Energy with a rated capacity of 3.3 MW per WTG. Serentica 4 requires 63 WTGs for a 200 MW capacity, of which 46 WTGs have already been delivered to the Project site.

iv.**Status of WTG installation:** As on date, Serentica has erected 29 WTGs out of the total 63 WTGs required for a wind capacity of 200 MW.

v.**Pooling Sub-station (“PSS”):** Serentica 4 has completed construction and testing of the transformers, Main Control Room, and 220 kV Switchyard, required for the Pooling Substation.

vi.**Dedicated Transmission Line (“DTL”):** A DTL of 14 Kms is required to evacuate power from the PSS to the Kallam Substation, for which 47 foundations out of a total of 58 foundations have been completed. 40 towers have been erected, and 3.8 km of stringing work has been carried out. The approval for the DTL under Section 68 was received on 16.11.2023, and the Power Telecommunication Coordination Committee (“PTCC”) Route Approval Certificate approval for the 220 kV DTL was received on 06.08.2024.

vii.**Status of internal transmission network:** As on date, Serentica 4 has completed the internal transmission network of 14 Kms out of 160 Kms required for 200 MW. Furthermore, PTCC approval (8 out of 11 feeders) for 33 kV has been received between September and December 2024.

(c) The anticipated date of commissioning of the 200 MW is December 2025, subject to the grant of requisite statutory approvals required for commissioning, as per the tentative commissioning schedule detailed below:

Sr. No.	Capacity	Tentative commissioning date
(a)	50 MW	June-2025
(b)	50 MW	August-2025
(c)	50 MW	October-2025
(d)	50 MW	December-2025

Submissions of the Petitioner in IA No. 29/2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

12. The Petitioner, vide the IA No. 29/2025, sought urgent listing of the Petition and all accompanying applications on 13.03.2025, as CTUIL has revoked Serentica 4's connectivity of 200 MW at Kallam Substation.

Submissions of Petitioner in IA No. 30/2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

13. The Petitioner, vide the IA No. 30/2025, reiterated its earlier submissions and prayed to stay the operation of the Revocation Letter dated 10.03.2025 issued by CTUIL during the pendency of the present Petition and to direct that the status quo be maintained and not take any further coercive action.

Hearing dated 13.03.2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

14. The extract of the RoP of hearing dated 13.03.2025 is as under:

*“Learned senior counsel for the Petitioner, SRI4PL, mentioned the matter and submitted that subsequent to the filing of the Petition, as apprehended, CTUIL, vide its letter dated 10.3.2025, has revoked the connectivity of the 200 MW granted in favour of the Petitioner. Learned senior counsel prayed to stay the letter dated 10.3.2025 issued by CTUIL and CTUIL be directed not to take any further coercive action. 2. The representative of the Respondent, CTUIL, being present during the hearing today, submitted that the revocation has been done in accordance with the law. The representative of the Petitioner further submitted that apart from the Petitioner, CTUIL has revoked the connectivity of other entities also in other matters as per the provisions of law. **He further categorically made an oral statement that CTUIL would not proceed to allot the corresponding connectivity of the 200 MW of the Petitioner to any other entity during the pendency of the Petition.***

*3. **After hearing the learned senior counsel for the Petitioner and the representative of the Respondent CTUIL and in view of the oral statement given by the representative of CTUIL, the Commission declined to pass any orders with respect to stay of revocation notice issued by CTUIL.**”*

Hearing dated 18.03.2025 in Petition No. 346/MP/2025

15. The relevant extracts of the RoP of hearing dated 18.03.2025 are as below:

*“3. **Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, the Commission permitted both sides, to file their written submissions on the IA within three days with a copy to the other side, and subject to this, the Commission reserved the order in IA.***

*4. **Further, the Commission also admitted Petition No. 346/MP/2025 and directed the parties to complete the pleading therein within six weeks, with three weeks for each side.***

*5. **The Petitioner was directed to file progress status of the Project within two weeks.***

6. CTUIL and the Petitioner to file on an affidavit within two weeks the information/ details after physical verification at site, as per the Format annexed with the ROP.

.....”

Hearing dated 18.03.2025 in Petition No. 347/MP/2025

16. The relevant extracts of the RoP for the hearing dated 18.03.2025 are as below:

“4. After hearing the learned senior counsel and learned counsel for the parties, the Commission ordered as under:

- (a) Admit and issue notice to the Respondent subject to just exceptions.*
- (b) The Petitioner to implead WRLDC as a party to the Petition and to file a revised memo of parties within two days.*
- (c) The Respondent, CTUIL to file its reply, along with a detailed report after verifying the physical progress of the 76MW and 48MW projects and dedicated transmission system with expected completion timeline, within two weeks with a copy to the Petitioner’s response/rejoinder within a week thereafter.*
- (d) The petitioner is also directed to file its progress status.*
- (e) The report to be filed CTUIL and the petitioner needs to include the details as per the attached format.*
- (f) As per the documents submitted by the Petitioner, a successful trial run and COD for 100 MW have already been done, WRLDC to allow the physical connectivity, injection, and drawl of power by the Petitioner for the 100 MW Solar plant till the next date of hearing.***
- (g) In the interregnum, CTUIL to maintain the status-quo with regard to the allocation of bays/ space vacated after the revocation of Petitioner’s connectivity at Solapur PS till the next date of hearing.***

.....”

Submission of Petitioner in Petition No. 347/MP/2025

17. The Petitioner, on 21.03.2025, filed an amended Memo of Parties impleading WRLDC as a party to the instant Petition.

Submissions of Respondent CTUIL in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

18. CTUIL, vide an affidavit dated 21.03.2025, has made the following submissions:

- (a) The Petitioner in the Stage-II Application dated 10.08.2022 for 210 MW requested the grant of connectivity with effect from 01.07.2024 with SCOD of its Project as 01.07.2024. Stage-II Connectivity was granted to the Petitioner vide intimation dated 13.10.2022, with the start date as 01.07.2024.

(b) While transitioning to the GNA Regulations under Regulation 37.1 of the GNA Regulations, the Petitioner sought a start date of connectivity as 31.12.2024 for part project (100MW) and 31.03.2025 for the other part (100MW). The transition was communicated to the Petitioner on 01.03.2024, with a start date of connectivity as 31.12.2024 for the entire Project. The final grant of connectivity did not in any way alter the SCOD, which was provided in the original Connectivity application submitted under the 2009 Connectivity Regulations as 01.07.2024.

(c) Since the Petitioner failed to commission the Project six months after the Scheduled Date of Commercial Operation (i.e. by 01.01.2025), CTUIL, in terms of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of GNA Regulations, issued the Revocation Notices dated 24.01.2025 to the Petitioner. Subsequently, on 10.03.2025, CTUIL revoked the 200MW Connectivity granted to the Petitioner in accordance with Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations, on account of failure to achieve the commissioning of the entire 200MW capacity within the prescribed timelines.

(d) The Petitioner is wrongly construing that Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations would only apply to new applications made after the notification of the GNA Regulations, and not to applications made under the 2009 Connectivity Regulations. The admitted position is that the Petitioner's case has been considered in terms of Regulation 37.1 of the GNA Regulations. In terms of Regulations, there were two options available to the Petitioner: (i) either to withdraw the application made under the 2009 Connectivity Regulations or (ii) to convert the application into one made under the GNA Regulations by complying with the requirements under the Regulations. The Petitioner, having elected to exercise option (ii) above in terms of Regulation 37.1, duly agreed to consider its application as deemed to be made in terms of Regulation 5.8 of the GNA Regulations and all consequences arising on account of the above. In view of the above, the contention raised by the Petitioner is baseless and devoid of any merit.

Submissions of Petitioner in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

19. The Petitioner, vide affidavit dated 24.03.2025, has submitted the rejoinder to the CTUIL reply. The Petitioner has reiterated its earlier submissions and additionally has submitted the following:

(a) Connectivity for Serentica 4 cannot be said to have been made effective in terms of Regulation 22.4, since Regulation 22.4(a) only refers to connectivity grantees covered under Regulation 4.1. Further, Regulation 22.4(b) refers to the effectiveness of GNA for GNA grantees in terms of Regulation 17.1. Neither Regulation 4.1 nor Regulation 17.1 are applicable to Serentica 4 as it had not applied for connectivity or GNA under Regulations 4.1 or 17.1, respectively.

(e) Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(iii) provides timelines for revocation of connectivity granted to entities that had applied for connectivity under Regulations 5.8(xi)(b) and 5.8(xi)(c). The purport of Regulation 24.6 is that it deals with applications made *directly* under the GNA Regulations. Since the Petitioners had not applied under Regulation 5.8(xi)(b) of the GNA Regulations, Regulation 24.6 will not be applicable.

(f) CTUIL has attempted to automatically equate an application converted under the GNA Regulations to an application filed under 5.8 of the GNA Regulations. CTUIL is erroneously creating a deemed fiction that was never meant to be incorporated into Regulation 37.1 of the GNA Regulations. Notably, Regulation 37.1 does not mention or refer to Regulation 5.8 of the GNA Regulations.

(g) In any case, since connectivity was operationalised on 31.12.2024, the period of 6 months under Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations should commence from the operationalisation of connectivity. Evidently, the Petitioner/Serentica 4 should have been granted time until 30.06.2025. Therefore, the Notices dated 24.01.2025 and Revocation Notice dated 10.03.2025 are premature and deserve to be set aside.

Hearing dated 25.03.2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

20. The relevant extract of the RoP of hearing dated 25.03.2025 is as under:

*“2. Learned counsel for the Respondent, CTUIL, submitted that keeping in view that certain information has been furnished by the Petitioner only recently, CTUIL may be permitted a week’s time to file its report. **Learned counsel also stated that in the meanwhile, CTUIL will not proceed to allot the corresponding connectivity of the 200 MW of the Petitioner to any other entity till the next date of hearing.***

3. Considering the above, the Commission permitted the Respondent, CTUIL, to file its affidavit as above, within a week with a copy to the Petitioner. The report to be filed by CTUIL and the Petitioner needs to include the details as per the attached format.”

Submissions of Respondent, CTUIL in Petition No. 346/MP/2025

21. Respondent, CTUIL vide its note dated 25.03.2025 has submitted as below:

(a) In terms of the GNA Regulations, revocation has already taken place in terms of the Intimation dated 11.03.2025, and bank guarantees have been encashed pursuant to the above.

(b) Petitioner No. 2 duly acted in terms of the above Regulation 37.2 and on 02.05.2023, wrote a letter to CTUIL providing the confirmation for conversion under GNA Regulations in the required formats. In the Format for Transition, Petitioner No. 2 duly submitted that the **connectivity quantum of 117 MW may be considered as converted to surrendered/connectivity under the GNA Regulations in terms of Regulation 37.2**. Thereafter, in terms of Regulation 37.2(f), CTUIL and ReNew entered into a Connectivity Agreement dated 03.10.2024, wherein Petitioner No. 2 agreed that it shall abide by all applicable provisions of the GNA Regulations and their amendments thereof. In terms of the above, Petitioner No. 2 duly became a Deemed Applicant under Regulation 4.1, which required Petitioner No. 2 to satisfy one of the requirements under Regulation 5.8(xi). Once such requirements are fulfilled and subject to the availability of the transmission system, the GNA can be made effective under Regulation 22.4 of the GNA Regulations.

(c) In the present case, connectivity and GNA were made effective from 10.08.2024 in terms of Regulation 22.4(a), and since the Petitioner had not complied with the requirement as specified under Regulation 24.6 (1)(a)(ii), CTUIL has rightly revoked the connectivity granted to Petitioner No. 2 on 10.03.2025.

(d) Vide the Stage-II Application dated 26.04.2022 made by the Petitioner No. 2, it voluntarily declared the Scheduled Date of Commercial Operation of its Project as 30.06.2023. The connectivity of the Petitioner was transitioned in terms of Regulation 37.2 of the GNA Regulations, and the Petitioner sought the start date of connectivity as 31.01.2024 for the Project. CTUIL on 18.01.2024 issued a final grant of connectivity to Petitioner No. 2 specifying the start date as 31.01.2024. However, there was no change in the scheduled date of Commercial Operation. Since Petitioner No. 2 failed to commission the Project within six

months after the Scheduled Date of Commercial Operation, on 24.01.2025, CTUIL, in terms of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations, revoked the connectivity.

(e) In addition to the above, after repeal of the Connectivity Regulations, 2009 and coming into effect of the GNA Regulations, no grant of open access or connectivity survives under the CERC Connectivity Regulations, 2009. All such grants made under the previous regulations were either to be surrendered or transitioned into grants administrable under the GNA Regulations. As such, the Petitioner's grant subsists only in terms of the provisions of the GNA Regulations and not otherwise. As such, the argument that Regulation 24.6 does not govern the Petitioner's grant is misleading.

(f) All grants of connectivity can only sustain as transitioned Connectivity (under GNA), which is amenable to equal treatment as that of a fresh application and grant of connectivity under Regulation 5 read with Regulation 7 of the GNA Regulations. There is no separate code of governance specified for transitioned connectivity, and as such, the Petitioner's contentions in this regard are both fallacious and misleading.

(g) The contention of the Petitioner that CTUIL ought to have taken justifications provided by the Petitioner into account before revoking the connectivity has no basis, as even assuming but not admitting that CTUIL agrees with the difficulties faced by the Petitioners, CTUIL doesn't have the power to relax or remove difficulties. Such power only exists with this Commission in terms of the GNA Regulations, and CTUIL is only a Nodal Agency to administer the Regulations.

(h) The contention of the Petitioner that the transmission system being developed by Kallam Transmission Limited was itself delayed for a period of 6 months has no merit. There was a delay of 8 months in commissioning of Kallam Transmission Limited i.e. from January 2024 to August 2024, however, there has been an inordinate delay in commissioning of the Petitioner's Project i.e. from 30.06.2023.

22. In compliance with the RoP for the hearing dated 18.03.2025, CTUIL, vide affidavits dated 03.04.2025 and 4.4.2025, has submitted the following information with respect to Work Progress Report of each of the Petitioner as under:

(a) Detailed Report after verifying the physical progress of the 200 MW (under Petition No. 276/MP/2025):

(i)

Particular	Wind Power Project (200 MW)
Date of application for connectivity	Stage-II connectivity application dated 10.05.2022 & LTA applications dated 19.01.2023
Connectivity Route (LoA/PPA/Land or Land BG)	Land & Financial closure route under Connectivity Regulations, 2009
Quantum of Connectivity granted	100MW & 100MW
Start Date of Connectivity	31.12.2024 (200MW)
SCOD of the project as per the application/ revised SCOD by REIA	01.07.2024 (as per application)
Maximum period for project execution with LD as per PPA	N.A.
Date of final grant after transition in GNA Regulations	01.03.2024.
Dedicated transmission system (under Petitioner's scope)	SRI4PL-Kallam PS 220kV S/c (on D/c tower) along with associated bay at Generation end Tower foundation(completed/total): 49/58 Tower erection(completed/total) :41/58 Stringing (completed/total) 3.8/14 Kms.
Capacity commissioned (in MW)	Nil
Expected COD of balanced capacity	30.06.2025 (50 MW), 31.08.2025 (50 MW), 31.10.2025 (50 MW), 31.12.2025 (50 MW) (as per progress report submitted by RE Developer)
Date of effectiveness of connectivity/ GNA	31.12.2024
Date of Revocation of connectivity	10.03.2025
Date of applicability of Revocation	10.03.2025

(ii)The status of installation and setting up of the 63 WTGs required for a project capacity of 200 MW, is as under:

	Wind Power Project: 200 MW				Remarks/ expected date of completion (given by RE developer)
	Required/ applied	Installed/ acquired/ completed	Status of balance		
			At site	Schedule supply	of
WTG (nos.)	63	32	46	8 WTGs – Apr 25, 9 WTGs – may 24	
Land (for nos. of WTG)	63	63	NA	NA	
WTG foundations	63	59	4	NA	
WTG erections – Tower, Nacelle, hub and blade	63	32	31	NA	30.11.2025
CEA approval for energization	63	0	NA	NA	30.11.2025

Status of charging/ trial run	63	0	NA	NA	15.12.2025
-------------------------------	----	---	----	----	------------

(iii) Status of the works pertaining to setting up the Dedicated Transmission System (“DTL”) i.e. SRI4PL – Kallam PS 220 kV S/c line (on D/c tower):

Particulars related to Dedicated Transmission System:					
	Required	Completed	Status of balance items	CEA approval for energization	Status of charging/ trial run
Pooling Station along with associated bay at Generation end	1	80% completed	20% work expected by May'25	expected by 31.05.2025	Civil work completed; ICTs filtration completed testing under progress and stringing under progress at PS.
DTL Tower foundations (nos.)	58	49	expected by May'25	expected by May 31.05.2025	DTL is under construction
DTL towers erection (nos.)	58	41	expected by May'25		
DTL stringing (ckm)	14	3.8	expected by May'25		
Terminating bay at Kallam ISTS end	1	1	0	Connectivity made effective on 31.12.2024	

(iv) Status of bilateral billing is as below:

Status of Bilateral Billing for Transmission Charges:					
Transmission for which bilateral billing is being done	Particulars of bilateral billing				
	Billing month	Bill date	Payment date	Pending Amount, if any (in Rs.)	LPS trigger date, if applicable
Kallam Transmission Limited (Establishment of 400/220kV Kallam PS, LILO of both circuits of Parli (PG)-Pune (GIS) 400Kv D/C line at Kallam PS	Feb'25	07.02.2025		19355	24.04.2025
	Mar'25	03.03.2025		600000	18.05.2025
	Apr'25	01.04.2025		600000	16.06.2025
	Total			1219355	

(a) **M/s Renew Green (MHP One) Private Limited for 117 MW Wind Project and granted connectivity at Kallam PS (Petition No. 346/MP/2025):**

Particular	Wind Power Project (117MW)
Date of application for connectivity	26.04.2022
Connectivity Route (LoA/PPA/Land or Land BG)	Land & Auditor certificate under Connectivity Regulation
Quantum of Connectivity granted	117
Start Date of Connectivity	10.08.2024

SCOD of the project as per the application/ revised SCOD by REIA	30.06.2023 (as per application)
Maximum period for project execution with LD as per PPA	NA
Date of final grant after transition in GNA Regulations	18.01.2024
Dedicated transmission system (under Petitioner's scope)	RG(MO)PL- Kallam PS 220kV S/c line (on D/c tower) along with associated bay at Generation end <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tower foundation (completed/total): 186/186 • Tower erection(completed/total): 186/186 • Stringing (completed/total): 44/52 Kms
Common Transmission System augmentation for connectivity	117MW: (Already made effective on 10.08.2024) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishment of 400/220kV, 2x500MVA Kallam PS. • LILO of both circuits of Parli (PG) - Pune (GIS) 400kV D/c line at Kallam PS.
Capacity commissioned (in MW)	Nil
Expected COD of balanced capacity	30.06.2025 (as per progress report submitted by RE Developer)
Date of effectiveness of connectivity/ GNA	10.08.2024
Date of Revocation of connectivity	10.03.2025
Date of applicability of Revocation	10.03.2025

Particular as on date 21.03.2025	Wind Power Project – 117MW				
	Required/ applied	Installed/ acquired/ completed	Remarks		
WTG (nos)	36	36			
Land (for no of WTG)	36	36			
WTG foundations	36	36			
WTG erections - Tower	36	36			
WTG erections Nacelle	36	36			
WTG erections – Hub and Blade	36	36			
CEA approval for energization	36	16			
Status of charging/ trial run	36	0	As per the RE developer target is 10.06.2025		
Particulars related to Dedicated Transmission System:					
	Required	Completed	Status of balance	CEA approval for energization	Status of charging/ trial run
No. of Pooling Stations:	1	1	Nil	Approved on 17.07.24	PS is ready for charging. Dedicated line not charged

DTL Tower foundations (nos.)	186	186	Nil	Not applied as DTL is not complete	As per the RE developer target is 25.05.2025
DTL towers erection(nos.)	186	186	Nil		
DTL stringing (ckm)	52	44	8		
Terminating bays	1	1	0	Connectivity made effective on 10.08.2024	
Status of Bilateral Billing for Transmission Charges:					
Transmission system for which bilateral billing is being done	Particulars of bilateral billing				
	Billing month	Bill date	payment date	Pending Amount, if any (in Rs.)	LPS trigger date, if applicable
Existing system as per Regulation 13 (7) of CERC Sharing Regulations, 2020	Oct 24 to Jan'25	27.01.2025		1302097	13.04.2025
	Feb'25	07.02.2025		351000	24.04.2025
	Mar 25	03.03.2025		351000	18.05.2025
	Apr 25	01.04.2025		351000	16.06.2025
		Total		2355097	

(c) Detailed Report after verifying the physical progress of the 76 MW and 48 MW project of M/s Renew Green Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd. (RGESL) (under Petition No. 347/MP/2025):

Particular	Wind Power Project (48MW)	Wind Power Project (76MW)
Date of application for connectivity	08/03/2023	04/11/2022
Connectivity Route (LoA/PPA/Land or Land BG)	Land & Auditor certificate route under Connectivity Regulations, 2009	
Quantum of Connectivity granted	48 MW	76 MW
Start Date of Connectivity	31/03/2024 (as per Stage-II Connectivity application under Connectivity Regulations, 2009); 30/06/2024 (as per final grant of Connectivity under GNA Regulations, 2022)	30/06/2024 (as per Stage-II Connectivity application under Connectivity Regulations, 2009 & also as per final grant of Connectivity under GNA Regulations, 2022)
SCOD of the project as per the application/ revised SCOD by REIA	31/03/2024 (as per Stage-II Connectivity application under Connectivity Regulations, 2009)	30/06/2024 (as per Stage-II Connectivity application under Connectivity Regulations, 2009)
Maximum period for project execution with LD as per PPA	NA	NA
Date of final grant after transition in GNA Regulations	02/02/2024	02/02/2024
Dedicated transmission system (under Petitioner's scope)	M/s RGESL shall share the Dedicated Transmission System for Connectivity granted to M/s RGESL's another SPP of 100MW (Stage-II Connectivity appl. No. 231400007) as given below. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establishment of 33/400kV Pooling Sub-Station PSS4 (Commissioned) 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PSS 4 (200MW SPP) - Solapur (PG) 400kV S/c line (on D/c tower) along with associated bays at both ends (under the scope of applicant) (Commissioned) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tower foundation (completed/total): 44/44 Nos. Tower erection (completed/total): 44/44 Nos. Stringing (completed/total): 13.32/13.32 Kms Interconnection between RGESL main pooling station (PSS 4) and intermediate pooling stations (under the scope of the applicant): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establishment of 33/400kV Pooling Sub-station PSS1 (Ready for Commissioning) PSS 1 (200MW WPP) - PSS 4 (200MW SPP) 400kV S/c line (on Dic towers) along with associated bays (Ready for Commissioning) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tower foundation (completed/total): 116/116 Nos. Tower erection (completed/total): 116/116 Nos. Stringing (completed/total): 45.45/45.45 Kms
Common Transmission System augmentation for connectivity	Nil (granted with Existing Transmission System)
Capacity commissioned (in MW)	Nil
Expected COD of balanced capacity	30/06/2025 (as per progress report submitted by RGESPL)
Date of effectiveness of connectivity/ GNA	30/06/2024
Date of Revocation of connectivity	10/03/2025
Date of applicability of Revocation	10/03/2025

48 MW and 76 MW Wind Power Project (WTG rating 3.3 MW)									
	Required/ applied		Installed/ acquired/ completed		Status of balance				Remarks/ expected date of completion
	48 MW project	76 MW project	48 MW project	76 MW project	at site		Schedule of supply		
					48 MW project	76 MW project	48 MW project	76 MW project	
WTG (nos) Land, foundation, erection-tower, nacelle, hub and blade	15	23	15	23	-	-	-	-	
CEA approval for energization	15	23	14	23	1	-	-	-	CEA approval for energisation received for 14 WTGs (for 48 MW project and for a total of 37 WTGs (for 76MW project) on 10.03.2025. 1 nos. of WTG to be applied by 20.04.2025
Status of charging/ trial run	15	23	-	-	15	23	-	-	Charging & trial run operations shall commence upon issuance of technical connection details by CTUIL, signing of the Connectivity Agreement

									(Cat-II), and WRDLC registration. An application for the submission of technical connection data has been submitted by ReNew, and the same is under review with CTUIL & WRDLC.
Particulars related to Dedicated Transmission System: 48 MW and 76 MW Wind Power Project									
PSS 4-Solapur (PG) 400kV S/c line (on Dic tower) (along with associated bays at both ends)									
	Required	Completed	Status of balance	CEA approval for energization	Status of charging/ trial run				
No. of Pooling Stations:	1	1	Nil	Received on 01.08.2024	Charged on 18.01.2025				
DTL Tower foundations (nos.)	44	44	Nil	Received on 29.07.2024					
DTL towers erection (nos.)	44	44	Nil						
DTL stringing (ckm)	13.32	13.32	Nil						
Terminating bays	1	1	0	Received on 14.10.2024					
PSS 1-PSS 4 400kV S/c line (on D/c towers) along with associated bays									
No. of Pooling Stations:	1	1	Nil	Received on 10.03.2025	Work completed and to be charged				
DTL Tower foundations (nos.)	116	116	Nil	Received on 14.03.2025					
DTL towers erection (nos.)	116	116	Nil						
DTL stringing (ckm)	45.45	45.45	Nil						
Terminating bays	1	1	Nil	Received on 14.10.2024					
48MW Wind Power Project									
76 MW Wind Power Project									
Status of Bilateral Billing for Transmission Charges:									
Transmission system for which bilateral billing is being	Particulars of bilateral billing								
	Billing month	Bill date	payment date	Pending Amount, if any (in Rs.)	LPS trigger date, if applicable				

done				48MW Wind Power Project	76MW Wind Power Project	
Existing system as per Regulation 13 (7) of CERC Sharing Regulations, 2020	Aug'24	01.08.2024	16.08.2024	0	0	
	Sep'24	02.09.2024	11.11.2024	0	0	
	Oct'24	13.11.2024	20.01.2025	0	0	
	No'24	13.11.2024	20.01.2025	0	0	
	Dec'24	01.01.2025	07.03.2025	0	0	
	Jan'25	01.01.2025	07.03.2025	0	0	
	Feb'25	07.02.2025		144000	228000	24.04.2025
	Mar'25	03.03.2025		144000	228000	18.05.2025
	Apr'25	01.04.2025		144000	228000	16.06.2025
		Total		432000	684000	

23. CTUIL has also furnished details of Financial Closure as sought vide ROP for the hearing dated 18.03.2025, which has not been reproduced here for the sake of brevity.

Submissions of the Petitioner in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

24. The Petitioner, vide an affidavit dated 03.04.2025, has made the following submissions:

(a) The status of the Site Progress of the 200 MW Wind Power Project of the Petitioner is as follows:

Particular	Wind Power Project (200 MW)
Date of application for connectivity	10.08.2022 (Stage-II Application under erstwhile 2009 Connectivity Regulations) 02.05.2023 (Transition Applications under Regulation 37 of GNA Regulations)
Connectivity Route (LoA/PPA/Land or Land BG)	Land route
Quantum of Connectivity granted	200
Start Date of Connectivity	01.07.2024 (as per Stage-II Application & Grant dated 13.10.2022 under 2009 Connectivity Regulations) 31.12.2024 (as per Transition Applications under GNA Regulations)
SCOD of the project as per the application/ revised SCOD by REIA	N.A.
Status of LOA / PPA as on date	Power Delivery Agreement dated 02.09.2022 ("PDA") executed between Serentica 4 and Hindustan Zinc Limited ("HZL") for the sale of 200 MW power, on a Round-the-Clock ("RTC") basis.
Maximum period for project execution with LD as per PPA	N.A.
Date of final grant after transition in GNA Regulations	01.03.2024.

Dedicated transmission system (under Petitioner's scope)	SRI4PL – Kallam PS 220 kV S/c line (on D/c tower) # (with minimum capacity of 350 MW at nominal voltage) along with associated bay at Generation end (under the scope of applicant) Approximately 14 kms Dedicated Transmission Line
Common Transmission System augmentation for connectivity	Transmission system for evacuation of power from RE Projects in Osmanabad area (1 GW) in Maharashtra <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Establishment of 400/220 kV, 2x500 MVA Kallam PS. LILO of both circuits of Parli(PG)- Pune (GIS) 400 KV D/C line at Kallam PS.
Capacity commissioned (in MW)	Nil
Expected COD of balanced capacity	30.06.2025 (50 MW), 31.08.2025 (50 MW), 31.10.2025 (50 MW), 31.12.2025 (50 MW)
Date of effectiveness of connectivity/ GNA	31.12.2024 (CTUIL Letter dated 18.12.2024)
Date of Revocation of connectivity	Letter dated 10.03.2025 received on 11.03.2025
Date of applicability of Revocation	01.01.2025

25. Other detailed status report filed by Petitioner is similar to that filed by CTUIL.

Submissions of the Petitioners in Petition No. 346/MP/2025

26. The Petitioners, vide affidavit dated 04.04.2025, have submitted the information similar to information submitted by CTUIL for the site progress except for following:

Particular	Wind Power Project (117 MW)
Start Date of Connectivity	31.01.2024 (as per application & final grant)
SCOD of project as per application/ revised SCOD by REIA	31.01.2024 per application)

27. The Petitioners have submitted that on 15.03.2023, Petitioner No. 2 submitted to CTUIL the Board Resolution dated 15.03.2023 whereby RPPL granted approval to provide financial support up to Rs. 1280 crore, to Petitioner No. 2 (a wholly owned subsidiary of Petitioner No. 1 and a step-down subsidiary of RPPL) for setting up a 150 MW Wind Power Project in Beed, Maharashtra.

Submissions of Petitioner in Petition No. 347/MP/2025

28. In compliance with the RoP for the hearing dated 18.03.2025, the Petitioners vide affidavit dated 04.04.2025 have submitted the information similar to information

submitted by CTUIL (vide affidavit dated 03.04.2025) except for the SCOD of the project as per the application/ revised SCOD by REIA has been indicated 30.6.2024.

29. The Petitioner has submitted that on 02.12.2024, in compliance with and fulfilment of Clause 11A (2) of GNA Regulations, has placed on record the following documents:

- i. Board Resolution dated 27.09.2024 and 20.10.2024 for unconditional and full financial support for the project.
- ii. Shareholder Certificate of ReNew Green Energy Solutions Pvt. Ltd. certifying that it is a wholly owned subsidiary of ReNew Pvt. Ltd.
- iii. Net worth certificates of ReNew Pvt. Ltd.
- iv. Board Resolution in favour of Authorised Signatory.

Submissions of Respondent, CTUIL in Petition No. 346/MP/2025

30. CTUIL, vide affidavit dated 16.04.2025, has reiterated its earlier submission made vide note dated 25.03.2025.

Submissions of Respondent, CTUIL in Petition No. 347/MP/2025

31. CTUIL, vide affidavit dated 16.04.2025, has submitted similar arguments against the Petitioner’s contentions, as submitted vide note dated 25.03.2025 in Petition No. 347/MP/2025. CTUIL has also submitted the timelines for connectivity of 100 MW (Solar), 76 MW (Wind), and 46 MW (Wind) as below:

Particulars	100 MW	76 MW	48 MW
Date of Application for Stage II Connectivity	10.10.2022	31.10.2022 (reapplied on 04.11.2022)	31.10.2022
SCOD	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	31.03.2024
Date of grant of Stage II Connectivity	12.01.2023	12.01.2023	
Date of Transmission Agreement	23.01.2023	23.01.2023	
Date of Transition Request to GNA	02.05.2023	02.05.2023	02.05.2023
Date of In-Principle grant of Connectivity under GNA	02.11.2023	02.11.2023	13.11.2023
Date of final grant of Connectivity under GNA	02.02.2024	02.02.2024	02.02.2024
Date of Connectivity Agreement	25.04.2024	25.04.2024	25.04.2024

Date of effectiveness of Connectivity	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	30.06.2024
Date of Transmission Agreement for Connectivity	28.10.2024		
Date of Show Cause Notice	24.01.2025	24.01.2025	24.01.2025
Date of Revocation Notice	10.03.2025	10.03.2025	10.03.2025

Hearing dated 07.05.2025 in Petition No. 347/MP/2025

32. Relevant extracts of the RoP of hearing dated 07.05.2025 are as under:

“4. After hearing the learned senior counsel for the Petitioner and learned counsel for the Respondent, the Commission observed that since the project is delayed, there is a case for compensation to be paid by the Petitioner. In response, the learned senior counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner will comply with the direction of the Commission in this regard. He further submitted that after compliance with the technical requirements, the Petitioner may be permitted to allow the commissioning of its project.

5. The Commission directed the CTUIL to apprise the Commission regarding receipt of all requisite data/details from the Petitioner within two weeks, as stated by the Petitioner. Considering the submissions of CTUIL that it will examine the details to be submitted by the Petitioner for the Conn 4 and Conn 6 approvals, subject to the outcome of the Petition, the IA No. 42/2025, having served its purpose, stands disposed of.

6. The Petitioner was directed to submit the following information on an affidavit within two weeks:

(a) Current status of the 76 MW WPP and 48 MW WPP.

(b) The quantum of power and details of procurers/ parties to whom the power from the 100 MW solar project will be supplied.

(c) Final successful trial run certificate issued by WRLDC in case of a 100 MW Solar project.

.....”

Hearing dated 22.05.2025 in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

33. The hearing of the learned senior counsel and learned counsel for the parties, the matter was reserved for the Order. Further, the Commission observed that, as per the undertaking by the learned counsel for the Respondent, CTUIL, during the course of previous hearings, CTUIL will not allot the corresponding connectivity of 200 MW of the Petitioner’s Projects to any other entity till the outcome of the present case.

Hearing dated 22.05.2025 (combined hearing in Petition No. 346/MP/2025 and 347/MP/2025)

35. Extract of the RoP of hearing dated 22.05.2025 is as under:

“During the course of the hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the construction of its Project involved in Petition No. 346/MP/2025 is already completed and the said Project is ready for the commissioning. However, certain formalities, including the submission of Conn-4 and Conn-6, are still pending and will be undertaken by the Petitioner shortly. Learned counsel further submitted that, in the meantime, the Petitioner may be permitted to undertake preparatory activities/formalities for achieving the commercial operation of its Project, as considered by the Commission in Petition No. 347/MP/2025.

2. Learned counsel for the Respondent, CTUIL, submitted that insofar as Petition No. 347/MP/2025 is concerned, the relevant documents were furnished by the Petitioner only on 15.5.2025, and therefore, CTUIL requires some time to examine the submitted details. Learned counsel further added that CTUIL will also consider the details submitted by the Petitioner for its Project in Petition No. 346/MP/2025, subject to the outcome of the said Petition.

3. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties, the Commission directed the CTUIL as well as NLDC/WRLDC to permit the Petitioners to carry out all necessary formalities for achieving the commercial operation of their Projects and post commercial operation and to consider the concerned applications /information submitted by them in this regard, subject to the outcome of these Petitions. The Commission further permitted the parties to file their respective written submissions, if any, within two weeks with a copy to the other side. The interim direction(s) issued in these cases, if any, shall also continue till the outcome of the matters.

4. Subject to the above, the Commission reserved the matters for order.”

Submissions of the Petitioners in Petition No. 346/MP/2025

36. The Petitioners, vide written submission dated 02.06.2025, have reiterated their earlier submissions and additionally have submitted as under:

(a) Consequent to the filing of the present Petition, the Commission did not stay the revocation but directed CTUIL to maintain the *status quo* with regard to allocation of bays. Considering the connectivity to be revoked, CTUIL threatened to encash the Connectivity Bank Guarantees submitted by the Petitioner. Given this threat, the Petitioner undertook to deposit with CTUIL the cash equivalent of the Conn-BGs in lieu of encashment by CTUIL. On 19.03.2025, the Petitioner deposited an amount of Rs. 5.84 crore with CTUIL in lieu of Conn-BG 1 of Rs. 50 lakh, Conn-BG 2 of Rs. 3 crore and Conn-BG3 of Rs. 2.34 crore (Rs. 2,00,000/MW for 117 MW). Consequent to the deposit of the case, CTUIL returned the Conn-BGs to the Petitioner on 28.03.2025.

(b) In the event the Commission sets aside the revocation of connectivity by CTUIL, as consequential relief, CTUIL is to be directed to refund the amount of

Rs. 5.84 crores, deposited by the Petitioner in lieu of the Conn-BGs. Subject to refund of the amount, the Petitioner undertakes to reinstate the Conn-BGs.

Submissions of the Petitioner in Petition No. 347/MP/2025

37. The Petitioner, vide written submission dated 03.06.2025, has reiterated its earlier submissions and additionally has submitted as under:

(a) Without prejudice to ReNew's position, ReNew has been cooperating and making payment under protest to CTUIL towards Bilateral Bills raised for the transmission systems reserved for 124 MW wind capacity (48 MW and 76 MW) and 100 MW solar capacity. Consequent to the filing of the present Petition, considering the connectivity to be revoked, CTUIL threatened to encash the Connectivity Bank Guarantees submitted by the Petitioner. Accordingly, on 19.03.2025, the Petitioner undertook to deposit the cash amount equivalent to the Conn-BGs with CTUIL in lieu of encashment by CTUIL amounting to Rs. 5.98 crore for the total 224 MW capacity, as under:

- i. For the 100 MW commissioned capacity, an amount of Rs. 50 lakh towards Conn BG-1 and Rs. 2 crore towards Conn-BG 3 (@ Rs. 2,00,000 per MW for 100 MW)
- ii. For the 76 MW wind capacity, an amount of Rs. 50 lakh towards Conn BG-1 and Rs. 1.52 crore towards Conn-BG 3 (@ Rs. 2,00,000 per MW for 76 MW).
- iii. For the 48 MW wind capacity, an amount of Rs. 50 lakh towards Conn BG-1 and Rs. 96 lakh towards Conn-BG 3 (@ Rs. 2,00,000 per MW for 48 MW)

(b) Consequent to the deposit of the case, CTUIL returned the Conn-BGs for the 124 MW capacity to the Petitioner on 28.03.2025.

(c) In the event the Commission sets aside the revocation of connectivity by CTUIL, as a consequential relief, CTUIL be directed to refund the amount of Rs. 5.98 crore, deposited by the Petitioner in lieu of the Conn-BGs. Subject to refund of the amounts, the Petitioner undertakes to reinstate the Conn-BG.

Submissions of the Petitioner in Petition No. 276/MP/2025

38. The Petitioner, vide Written Submission dated 05.06.2025, has reiterated its earlier submission and additionally has submitted that during the pendency of the matter, in order to safeguard the Bank Guarantees submitted by Serentica 4 for the connectivity, Serentica 4 has deposited an equivalent amount of Rs. 7.50 crores with CTUIL. Such a deposit is also to demonstrate Serentica 4's bona fides and commitment towards commissioning the project and safeguarding its connectivity during the pendency of the matter before the Commission.

Analysis and Decision

39. We have considered the rival submissions of the parties and perused the documents available on the record. Since the issues involved in these Petitions are similar, the same are being dealt with together. The Petitioners under the respective Petitions have submitted that they have been granted connectivity under the 2009 Connectivity Regulations and have transitioned to the GNA Regulations. The Petitioners have prayed to stay the operation of the revocation notice issued by CTUIL on the grounds of non-commissioning of the Petitioner's projects within the timeline stipulated under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations. The details of the project and revocation notice are as below:

Petition No.	Project Details covered under the Petition	Connectivity Revocation notice issued by CTUIL on	Start date of Connectivity (after transition under the GNA)	GNA effective date	Connectivity Revocation date as per CTUIL under Regulation 24.6
276/MP/2025	200 MW (Wind)	10.03.2025	31.12.2024	31.12.2024	01.01.2025
346/MP/ 2025	117 MW (Wind)	10.03.2025	31.01.2024	10.08.2024	11.08.2024
347/MP/ 2025	100 MW (Solar)	10.03.2025	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	01.01.2025
	76 MW (Wind)	10.03.2025	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	01.01.2025
	48 MW (wind)	10.03.2025	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	01.10.2024

40. The Petitioners have submitted that Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations is not applicable to them, as Regulation 24.6(1) refers to cases falling under Regulation 5.8, i.e., those applications filed directly under the GNA Regulations, whereas the Petitioners are transitioned entities. The Petitioners have submitted that for the purposes of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii), the 6 months period ought to be considered from the start date of connectivity. The Petitioners have submitted that their Projects have been impacted by uncontrollable and unforeseen events, MEDA clearances, land conversion issues, RoW issues, Continuous Rainfall, Ambiguity/delay in obtaining MOD Clearances, which were beyond its control, which have adversely impacted the commissioning of the projects.

41. *Per contra* the Respondent, CTUIL has submitted that the contention of the Petitioners that Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) of the GNA Regulations is not applicable to the Petitioners is without merit for the reason that the Petitioners are reading Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) in isolation, ignoring the various other Regulations of the GNA Regulations. CTUIL has placed on record the status reports of the Petitioners after visiting the site as directed by the Commission.

42. Vide Record of Proceedings dated 22.5.2025, CTUIL as well as NLDC/WRLDC were directed to permit the Petitioners to carry out all necessary formalities for achieving the commercial operation of their Projects and post commercial operation and to consider the concerned applications /information submitted by them in this regard, subject to the outcome of these Petitions. Vide earlier ROPs, it was also directed that, in the interregnum, CTUIL maintain the status quo with regard to the allocation of bays/ space vacated after the revocation of the Petitioner's connectivity.

43. It is noted that the Petitioners have deposited the cash amount equivalent to the Conn-BGs with CTUIL in lieu of encashment by CTUIL and have submitted that in the event the Commission sets aside the revocation of connectivity granted by CTUIL, CTUIL be directed to refund the amount deposited by them in lieu of the Conn-BGs and subject to refund of the amounts, the Petitioners undertake to reinstate the Conn-BG.

Considering the rival submissions of the parties, the following issues arise for our consideration:

Issue No. 1: Whether the show cause notice and Revocation Notices issued by CTUIL under Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations are liable to be set aside?

Issue No. 2: Whether the validity of the connectivity granted to the Petitioners is required to be extended? What shall be the terms and conditions for such extension of the validity of the connectivity?

The issues above are addressed in the following paragraphs.

Issue No. 1: Whether the show cause notice and Revocation Notices issued by CTUIL under Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations are liable to be set aside?

45. The Petitioners, in all three instant Petitions, have mainly submitted that Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations is not applicable to the present cases, as Regulation 24.6(1) refers to cases falling under Regulation 5.8, i.e., those applications filed directly under the GNA Regulations. The Stage-II Connectivity application was made by the Petitioners under the erstwhile regime of the 2009 Connectivity Regulations, and subsequently transitioned under the GNA Regulations. Since the revocation, as per Regulation 24.6(1) of the GNA Regulations, is pegged to the SCOD indicated at the time of making the connectivity application under Regulation 5.8(xi) of the GNA Regulations, it cannot be made applicable to entities which had not applied under Regulation 5.8(xi)(b) of the GNA Regulations. The Petitioners have further

submitted that the connectivity granted to the Petitioners cannot be said to have been made effective in terms of Regulation 22.4, since Regulation 22.4(a) only refers to connectivity grantees covered under Regulation 4.1. Further, Regulation 22.4(b) refers to the effectiveness of the GNA for GNA grantees in terms of Regulation 17.1, and in the instant cases, neither Regulation 4.1 nor Regulation 17.1 is applicable to the Petitioners, as they had not applied for connectivity or GNA under Regulations 4.1 or 17.1, respectively. The Petitioners have submitted that for the purposes of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii), the 6-month period ought to be considered from the start date of connectivity and not the timeline for commissioning of the project indicated in the Stage-II application filed under the erstwhile regime.

46. The Respondent, CTUIL in all the instant Petitions, has submitted that the Petitioners, in terms of the provisions under Regulation 37 of the GNA Regulations, have sought conversion under the GNA Regulations and subsequently entered into the Connectivity Agreement with CTUIL in terms of the GNA Regulations with the condition that they shall abide by all applicable provisions of the GNA Regulations and its amendments thereof. In terms of the above, the Petitioners duly became a Deemed Applicant under Regulation 4.1, which required them to satisfy the requirements under Regulation 5.8(xi). In the present cases, the connectivity and GNA were made effective in terms of Regulation 22.4(a), and since the Petitioners had not complied with the requirement as specified under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii), CTUIL has rightly revoked the connectivity granted to the Petitioners. CTUIL has submitted that there is no ambiguity in the GNA Regulations in regard to the application of Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) on the Petitioners.

47. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioners and the Respondent CTUIL. Let us peruse the provisions of the relevant Regulations. Regulations 37.1 and 37.2 of the GNA Regulations provide as under:

“37.1. If an application for grant of Connectivity or grant of Long term Access or grant of Medium Term Open Access has been made in accordance with the Connectivity Regulations, 2009 and the same is yet to be granted as on the date of coming into effect of these Regulations, the applicant shall have the option of, either (a) to withdraw the application, in which case the application fee and bank guarantee, if any, shall be returned, or (b) to convert the application as an application made under these regulations by complying with the requirements under these regulations, which shall be processed in accordance with these regulations:

Provided that such option shall be exercised by the applicant within one month of coming into effect of these Regulations, failing which the application shall be closed and the application fee and bank guarantee, if any, shall be returned.

37.2. If Connectivity has been granted but Long Term Access has not been granted in accordance with the Connectivity Regulations, 2009 and Connectivity is yet to become effective as on the date of coming into effect of these regulations, the same shall be treated as under:

(a) The entity shall have the option of, either (i) to convert the Connectivity granted under the Connectivity Regulations, 2009 as Connectivity made under these Regulations complying with the requirements under these regulations, or (ii) to surrender such Connectivity.

.....
(d) In case, the entity exercises the option to convert the Connectivity granted under the Connectivity Regulations, 2009 as Connectivity under these Regulations in terms of option (i) of clause (a) of this regulation, the Nodal Agency shall, within next 30 days, intimate the amount of Conn-BG1, Conn-BG2 and Conn-BG3, to be paid by such entity in terms of Regulation 8 of these regulations, after adjusting bank guarantee, if any, paid by such entity under the Connectivity Regulations, 2009.

(e) Conn-BG1, Conn-BG2 and Conn-BG3 shall be furnished by the entity within two (2) months of intimation under clause (d) of this Regulation.

(f) On furnishing of Conn-BG1, Conn-BG2 and Conn-BG3 under clause (e) of this Regulation, existing agreements between the entity and the Nodal Agency shall be aligned with provisions of Regulation 10.3 of these regulations.

(g) On alignment of existing agreements under clause (f) of this Regulation, the entity shall become Connectivity grantee for all purposes under these regulations.

.....”

A perusal of the above provision reveals that there are clear provisions for the entities that have applied for connectivity or Long term Access (LTA) under the 2009 Connectivity Regulations, and the same were granted or under process, as on the date of effectiveness of the GNA Regulations. The above Regulations provide

applicants with the option to either withdraw their applications or convert them under the GNA Regulations. By opting for conversion of the application under the GNA Regulations by the applicants, the application was processed in terms of the provisions of the GNA Regulations, and once agreements are aligned, the entity shall become the Connectivity grantee for all purposes under these regulations.

48. We note that once an entity becomes a connectivity grantee under the GNA Regulations, all the relevant provisions of the GNA Regulations, *inter alia*, including Regulation 22.4 and Regulation 24.6, are equally applicable to such entities. It is noted that under the instant Petitions, an entity which is a generating station on transitioning to the GNA Regulations becomes an entity under Regulation 4.1 of the GNA Regulations, and in case it is a REGS, shall be covered under Regulation 5.8(xi) of the GNA Regulations, depending on the basis under which it sought Stage-II Connectivity. Such an entity shall not be liable for any transmission charges post COD in terms of Regulation 40.1 of the GNA Regulations. Such an entity shall also be eligible for the return of Conn-BGs upon achieving COD in terms of Regulation 16 of the GNA Regulations and transfer of connectivity in terms of Regulation 15 of the GNA Regulations. The contentions of the Petitioners that they are not covered under Regulations 22.4 and 24.6 of the GNA Regulations since Stage-II connectivity applications were made under the 2009 Connectivity Regulations, would lead to a situation where any of the above-said Regulations would not be applicable to them. In fact, the Petitioners would not be able to schedule their power under the Grid Code since the Grid Code also refers to effective GNA in terms of the GNA Regulations for such entities. Therefore, the contentions of the Petitioners that they are not covered under Regulations 22.4 and 24.6 of the GNA Regulations are not acceptable and are not as per the Regulations.

49. The Petitioners have further contended that the 6-month period under Regulation 24.6 ought to be considered from the start date of connectivity. We have perused Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations. The relevant extract of Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations is as under:

**“24.6 Revocation of Connectivity
(1)**

- (a) *Connectivity shall be revoked for the corresponding capacity, if the Connectivity and corresponding GNA has been made effective in terms of Clause (a) of Regulation 22.4 of these regulations and the Connectivity grantee fails to achieve COD either in full or in parts on or before,*
- (i)
- (ii) *six months after the scheduled date of commercial operation as intimated at time of making application for grant of Connectivity, for cases covered under clause (xi)(b) or (xi)(c) of the Regulation 5.8.*
.....”

As per the above provision, connectivity shall be revoked for the corresponding capacity, if the Connectivity and corresponding GNA has been made effective but the connectivity grantee covered under clause (xi)(b) or (xi)(c) of Regulation 5.8 fails to achieve the COD, either in full or part, up to the six months after the SCOD as intimated at time of making application for grant of connectivity.

50. We have tabulated the details of the Petitioner’s connectivity applications:

Petition No.	Connectivity (in MW)/ stage-II application dated	SCOD as per the Stage-II connectivity application	Last date of compliance of Regulation 24.6 (SCOD + 6 months)	Start date of Connectivity (after transition under the GNA)	Status of COD	GNA effective date	Connectivity Revocation date as per Regulation 24.6 as per CTUIL
276/MP/2025	210 MW (Wind) /10.08.2022 (2 LTA applications each of 100MW)	01.07.2024	01.01.2025	31.12.2024 (for 200MW)	COD not achieved	31.12.2024	01.01.2025
346/MP/ 2025	117 (Wind) / 14.04.2022	30.06.2023	30.12.2023	31.01.2024 (subject to availability of CTS*)	COD not achieved	10.08.2024	11.08.2024
347/MP/ 2025	100 (Solar)/ 10.10.2022	30.06.2024	30.12.2024	30.06.2024	COD achieved on 13.03.2025	30.06.2024	01.01.2025
	76 (Wind)/ 04.11.2022	30.06.2024	30.12.2024	30.06.2024	COD not achieved	30.06.2024	01.01.2025

	Enhancement of Connectivity by 48 MW (wind) /08.03.2025	31.03.2024	30.09.2024	30.06.2024	COD not achieved	30.06.2024	01.10.2024
--	--	------------	------------	------------	---------------------	------------	------------

51. As per the above details, SCOD has been considered by the CTUIL as the date of filing of the Stage-II Connectivity Application by the applicants. CTUIL has submitted that the final grant of connectivity (for transition applications) did not in any way alter the SCOD, which was provided in the original connectivity application submitted under the 2009 Connectivity Regulations as 01.07.2024. However, the Petitioners have not refuted that there is any discrepancy in the data of SCOD furnished by the CTUIL as per their Stage-II Applications. The Petitioners have contended that CTUIL should consider SCOD as the Start date of connectivity sought by the Petitioners in transition applications. The Petitioners have submitted that if SCOD, as per the initial Stage-I application, is considered, it would lead to an anomalous situation where a project would be expected to commission well before the start date of connectivity, resulting in not only operational challenges but also rendering the period of 6 months under Regulation 24.6(1)(a)(ii) redundant.

52. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioner and Respondents. We have perused the Stage-II Connectivity Application, Grant of Stage-II Connectivity, and Transition application for one sample case (Petition No. 346/MP/2025) as under:

Stage-II Connectivity Application

Capacity(MW) for which connectivity is required 117.00

Date from which Stage - II Connectivity is required : Jun 30, 2023

Location of Generating Projects/Park

Nearest Village / Town : Beed
District : Beed
State : Maharashtra
Latitude : 18°43'30.3"N
Longitude : 75°28'15.6"E



Page 1 of 3

FORMAT-50 CON-II

Revised Application for Stage II Connectivity to ISTS

Old Application No. 1200003870

Application No. 1200003881

Planned Capacity and Expected Timelines of Generating Project/Park(Stage Wise)

S. No.	Installed Capacity of the Generating Station	Commissioning Schedule of the Generating Station Date
1	117	Jun 30, 2023

Details of Generating Project / Park :

Location : Patoda, District - Beed, Maharashtra
Name of Project / Park : ReNew Patoda Wind project
Energy Source : Solar /Wind : WIND
Step-up voltage at generator Pooling Station : 220 kV

53. As per the above application, the commissioning schedule has been indicated as 30.6.2023, and the start date for connectivity has been sought as 30.6.2023.

Grant of Stage-II Connectivity Application

Intimation for Grant of Stage-II Connectivity

- | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 1. | Intimation No | : | CTUW/05/Con St-II/1200003881 |
| | Date | : | 16/06/2022 |
| 2. | Ref. Application No. | : | 1200003881 |
| | Date | : | 26/04/2022 |
| 3. | Name of the Applicant | : | ReNew Green (MHP One) Private Limited (RG(MO)PL) |
| 4. | Address for Correspondence | : | Commercial Block-1, Golf Course Road, DLF City, Zone- 6, Sector-43, Gurugram-122009 |
| 5. | Location of the Generating Station | : | Beed, Maharashtra |
| | Latitude | : | 18° 43'30.3" E |
| | Longitude | : | 75° 28'15.6" E |
| 6. | Nature of the Applicant | : | Generator (Wind) |
| 7. | Details for Stage-II Connectivity granted | | |
| 7a | Capacity (MW) for which connectivity is granted | : | 117MW |
| 7b | ISTS sub-station and bay at which Connectivity is granted | : | Kallam PS (Under Implementation)
(01nos. 220kV bay)

[Bay no. shall be intimated after finalization of the same in consultation with the implementing ISTS transmission licensee of the sub-station, within three months of award of contract for construction of the sub-station] |
| 7c | Connectivity Transmission System | : | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RG(MO)PL – Kallam PS 220kV S/c line (on D/c tower) along with associated bay at Generation end (under the scope of applicant) • Bay at ISTS substation end is already under implementation as a part of ISTS. <p>Additional transmission system under ISTS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establishment of 400/220kV Kallam PS alongwith 1x500MVA, 400/220kV ICT • LILO of both circuits of Parli(PG) – Pune(GIS) 400kV D/c line at Kallam PS |
| 7d | Date from which Connectivity is granted | : | 30/06/2023 |

As per the above stage-II grant intimation, the start date of connectivity is 30.6.2023.

Transition Application under Regulation 37 of the GNA Regulations

FORMAT-CONN-TRANSITION-APP-5 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR CONNECTIVITY UNDER TRANSITION BY ENTITIES COVERED UNDER REGULATIONS 37.1 AND 37.2		
1	Old Application Number	1200003881
2	Old Application Date	26-Apr-22
3	Name of the Applicant	ReNew Green (MHP One) Private Limited
4	Address of correspondence	Renew.Hub, Commercial Block-1, Zone-6, Golf Course Road, DLF City Phase V, Gurugram, Haryana 122009
5	Contact Details Primary Contact Details Primary Contact Person Name Designation Phone No. (Mobile) E-Mail Alternate Contact Details Alternate Contact Person Name Designation Phone No. E-Mail	Mohit Jain Manager 9873462717 mohit.jain@renew.com Amit Kumar Vice President 9717196796 solarbidding_gm@renew.com
6	Nature of application:	i. Generating station(s), including rooftop, without ESS
7	Energy Source:	Wind
8	Details for Connectivity under General Network Access (GNA):	
	i. Quantum (MW) for which Connectivity required: (Less than or equal to Connectivity applied/granted earlier)	117 MW
	ii. Date from which Connectivity required:	31-Jan-24
	iii. Details of nearest 765/400/220/132 kV substations, in case information is available:	Voltage levels available: 220 KV Owner: indiGrid, Kailam PS Distance (km): 30 Kms approx
	iv. Terminal bays at ISIS end to be constructed under ISTS:	Yes

As per the above, CTUIL sought the start date of connectivity from the entity, and the entity specified it as 31.1.2024.

Grant of Final Connectivity upon Transition

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Latitude (any point in substation boundary) Longitude (any point in substation boundary) Scheduled date of commercial operation of substation Terminal bay no. SLD	18°37'21.05"N 75°52'17.08"E 31.01.2024 (Anticipated: as per 42nd JCC meeting of WR held on 27.12.2023) 202 Copy of SLD enclosed
viii.	Scheduled date of commercial operation of bay at ISTS end, if applicable	31.01.2024 (as per 42nd JCC meeting of WR held on 27.12.2023)
8	Dedicated Transmission Line (DTL)	: RG(MO)PL – Kallam PS 220kV S/c line (on D/c tower)# along with associated bay at Generation end (under the scope of applicant)
9	ATS/Augmentation	:
9a	Associated Transmission System (ATS)	: Nil
i.	Scheme details	: NA
ii.	Scheduled commissioning date of ATS	: NA
iii.	Estimated Cost of ATS	: NA
9b	Augmentation (Other than ATS)	:
i.	Scheme details	: Common Transmission System Augmentation for Connectivity under GNA as per Annexure-I
ii.	Scheduled commissioning date of Augmentation	: 31.01.2024 (Expected)
10	Start date of Connectivity	: 31.01.2024 (subject to the availability of Common Transmission System Augmentation for Connectivity under GNA)

As per the above, the Start date has been considered as 31.1.2024.

54. We observe that under the instant cases, the entities were granted Stage-II Connectivity (including LTA in one case), and they have transitioned to the GNA Regulations. While transitioning, CTUIL obtained the start date for connectivity from such entities in the transition application. However, the project's SCOD was not included in the transition application. According to CTUIL, SCOD should be taken as furnished in the original Stage-II Application. *Per contra*, the Petitioners have submitted that SCOD should be treated as the start date of connectivity post transition.

We have carefully analyzed the data of SCOD vis-à-vis the start date of connectivity for the sample data quoted above. We observe that SCOD and the start date of connectivity sought by the applicant in the Stage-II application were the same. This is logical since a RE project would seek a start date for connectivity, the same as SCOD. Considering the submissions of the Petitioners, although the transition application did not include the furnishing of a revised SCOD, when the start date of connectivity was again sought from transitioning entities, a logical inference would be to consider the SCOD the same as the start date of connectivity sought by the applicant and granted by the CTUIL (whichever is later). The start date of connectivity, as indicated in the stage-II connectivity intimation, is likely to change upon transitioning to GNA, as the grant of GNA may require identification of augmentation to ensure evacuation of power for which connectivity is sought, with its own commissioning schedule. This clarification is required because a one-time transition was being carried out from the 2009 Connectivity Regulations to the GNA Regulations, and the treatment of SCOD needs clarification to make the transition meaningful. It should be noted that this clarification would only be applicable for entities under transition and would have no bearing on entities that have applied fresh connectivity post-effectiveness of the GNA Regulations, for whom the provisions of the GNA Regulations shall apply.

55. Keeping in view the above discussions, the SCOD as calculated in terms of the above and the revocation trigger date works out as follows:

Petition No.	Project Details covered under the Petition	SCOD as per the Stage-II connectivity application	Connectivity Revocation date as per CTUIL as per Regulation 24.6	Start date of Connectivity (after transition under the GNA)	SCOD as per the clarification under this Order	GNA effective date	Connectivity Revocation date as per SCOD, as per directions under this Order, under Regulation 24.6

276/MP/2025	200 MW (Wind)	01.07.2024	01.01.2025	31.12.2024	31.12.2024	31.12.2024	1.7.2025
346/MP/ 2025	117 MW (Wind)	30.06.2023	11.08.2024	31.01.2024	31.01.2024	10.08.2024	11.08.2024
347/MP/ 2025	100 MW (Solar)	30.06.2024	01.01.2025	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	1.1.2025
	76 MW (Wind)	30.06.2024	01.01.2025	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	1.1.2025
	48 MW (wind)	31.03.2024	01.10.2024	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	30.06.2024	1.1.2025

56. We note that the GNA Regulations provide for compliance monitoring by CTUIL. As per CTUIL, the trigger date of revocation was as early as August 2024, whereas CTUIL issued revocation letters in March 2025. We are of the considered view that effective compliance monitoring should be adhered to by the CTUIL to ensure that entities are committed to bringing the projects as per the scheduled timelines. We note that although CTUIL has rightly revoked the connectivity in terms of the GNA Regulations, considering the SCOD and the effective date of the GNA, the trigger date for revocation is required to be revised in terms of dispensations under this Order as above. However, considering the submissions of the Petitioners that they are under advanced stages of commissioning and their prayers not to revoke their Connectivity under the power to relax, we are of the view that the revocation of connectivity shall be as per our directions under Issue No.2 herein.

57. Issue No. 1 is answered accordingly.

Issue No. 2: Whether the validity of the connectivity granted to Petitioners is required to be extended? What shall be the terms and conditions for such extension of the validity of Connectivity?

58. The Petitioners have submitted that they have made significant efforts and investments in developing and commissioning their Projects, but the Projects have been impacted by uncontrollable and unforeseen events as under:

- (a) **Petition No. 276/MP/2025:** On account of micro-siting of WTGs, unprecedented rainfall, RoW issues, land conversion issues, and delay in PTCC

approval for the 33 kV internal transmission network and 220 kV DTL. These unforeseen events were beyond its control, which have adversely impacted the commissioning of the Project.

(b) **Petition No. 346/MP/2025:** RoW issues, continuous rainfall, Ambiguity/delay in obtaining MOD clearances, which were beyond its control, and the same have adversely impacted the commissioning of the project.

(c) **Petition No. 347/MP/2025:** COD of the 100 MW solar capacity has been achieved, 102 MW wind capacity is ready for charging, and the remaining capacity is in advanced stages of commissioning. As regards wind capacity, 37 WTGs corresponding to 124 MW capacity had been erected. The Petitioners have been impacted by uncontrollable and unforeseen events, including RoW issues, continuous rainfall, and ambiguities/delays in obtaining MOD clearances, which were beyond their control and have adversely impacted the commissioning of the project.

59. During the course of the hearing on 07.05.2025 in Petition No. 347/MP/2025, the Commission observed that since the project is delayed, there is a case for compensation to be paid by the Petitioner. In response, the learned senior counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the Petitioner will comply with the Commission's direction in this regard. He further submitted that, upon compliance with the technical requirements, the Petitioner may be permitted to commission the Project.

60. We have considered the submissions of the Petitioners. Vide RoP for the hearing dated 18.03.2025, the Petitioner and CTUIL were directed to place on record the updated status of the implementation of the projects and the same are as under:

Petition No.	Connectivity (in MW)	Expected date of Commissioning	Installation of WTG	Status of the DTL	Status of Financial Closure (FC) - Date of achieving FC
276/MP/2025	200 (Wind)	30.06.2025 (50 MW), 31.08.2025 (50 MW), 31.10.2025 (50 MW),	Order placed for all 63 WTGs. 32 WTGs out of 63 WTGs has	41 out of 58 towers have been erected.	10.08.2022

		31.12.2025 (50 MW)	been erected. Balance would be erected by 30.11.2025.	3.8km out of 14Km DTL stringing has been done	
346/MP/2025	117 (Wind)	30.06.2025	All 36 WTG out of 36 WTGs have been erected CEA approval for energisation received for 16 nos. WTG.	All 186 towers have been erected 44km out of 55Km DTL stringing has been done	15.03.2023
347/MP/2025	100 (Solar)	COD achieved on 13.03.2025			
	76 (Wind)	30.06.2025	All 23 WTG out of the required 23 WTG have been erected CEA approval for energisation received for all WTGs on 10.03.2025.	PSS 4 - Solapur (PG) 400kV S/c line has been charged on 18.01.2025 Work for PSS 1-PSS 4 400kV S/c line has been completed, and it is to be charged	12.10.2024
	48 (Wind)	30.06.2025	All 15 WTG out of 15 WTG required have been erected CEA approval for energisation received for 14 nos. WTG out of 15 Nos. required on 10.03.2025.		

61. From the above table, we observe that the projects are under an advanced stage of implementation, with 100MW (Solar) having already achieved COD. We are of the considered view that at such an advanced stage of implementation of the project, where almost all WTGs have been erected, and most of the Dedicated Transmission Lines have been completed, the projects should be allowed to be commissioned. Revoking Connectivity at this stage would not be a prudent step in the sector's interest. However, the Petitioners should have taken advanced steps to complete their projects within the timeline provided under the regulation, such that the transmission system is optimally utilised. Considering the same, we find that the cases of Petitioner(s) are fit cases for the exercise of the powers to relax and to remove

difficulty, and further for the invocation of our regulatory power to safeguard the interests of the sector. We note that the Petitioner(s) have been holding on to the connectivity, a scarce resource and have not been able to complete the project within the time specified under the regulations. We are of the view that such relaxation should be with compensation for the delayed commissioning period.

62. Regulation 41 of the GNA Regulations vests the Commission with the power to relax any of the provisions of the GNA Regulations to remove the hardship in the operation of the GNA Regulations. Regulation 41 of the GNA Regulations is extracted as under:

“41. Power to Relax

The Central Commission, for reasons to be recorded in writing, may relax any of the provisions of these regulations on its own motion or on an application made before it by an affected party to remove the hardship arising out of the operation of these regulations.”

63. Further, Regulation 42 of the GNA Regulations vests the Commission with the power to remove difficulty under certain circumstances. Regulation 42 of the GNA Regulations is extracted as under:

“42. Power to Remove Difficulty

If any difficulty arises in giving effect to the provisions of these regulations, the Central Commission may, on its own motion or on an application made before it by affected party by order, make such provision not inconsistent with the provisions of the Act or provisions of other regulations specified by the Central Commission, as may appear to be necessary for removing the difficulty in giving effect to the objectives of these regulations.”

64. In light of the above, we, in exercise of the Power conferred under Regulations 41 and 42 of the GNA Regulations, are of the considered view that Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations shall be relaxed to the extent that the Connectivity of the Petitioners shall not be revoked under Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations as per

CTUIL Revocation letter dated 10.3.2025 subject to payment of compensation as determined in subsequent paragraphs.

65. We now proceed to determine what shall be the appropriate compensation cost leviable on the Petitioners towards allowing the additional time period for achieving COD of the project corresponding to the connectivity granted. The Commission, vide Order dated 25.11.2024 in Petition No. 326/MP/2024, decided the following compensation:

“29. Considering that the prayer under the instant Petition is seeking time for commissioning the project by 25.1.2025 and the Petitioners have given an undertaking vide Affidavit dated 28.10.2024 to commission the project before 21.4.2025, we are of the view that the compensation for delay in achieving the project shall be submitted by the Petitioners as follows:

a) An amount of 50% of (sum of Conn-BG1+ Conn-BG2+ Conn-BG3, which is Rs 9.5 crores) = Rs 4.75 Crore shall be furnished by the Petitioners to the CTUIL within two weeks (14 days) of the issuance of the instant Order, as compensation towards delayed commissioning from committed date of 20.10.2024 till 20.01.2025. In case such amount is not deposited by Petitioners within the stipulated date to CTUIL, the Connectivity shall stand revoked, and Conn-BGs furnished by the Petitioners shall be encashed by CTUIL.

b) Post 20.1.2025, charges towards compensation shall be payable on a per day basis proportionate to the quantum which has not achieved COD calculated as (Rs Crore) = [(quantum of capacity which has not achieved COD in MW / 300 MW) X (no. of days delayed beyond 20.1.2025 / 90 days) X 4.75 Crore]. Such amount for the next 15 days shall be furnished in advance before the start of such 15 days to the CTUIL.

For example, if the full 300 MW is yet to be commissioned as on 20.1.2025, the Petitioners shall deposit Rs 79.17 lacs latest by 20.1.2025 for the 15 days starting from 21.1.2025 till 4.2.2025. Suppose by 4.2.2025 if the full 300 MW is yet to be commissioned, Petitioners shall deposit Rs. 79.17 lacs latest by 4.2.2025. In case the compensation is not deposited by the Petitioners to CTUIL within the stipulated date, the Connectivity corresponding to capacity not commissioned shall stand revoked, and Conn-BGs furnished by the Petitioners shall be encashed for such proportionate capacity not commissioned.”

As per the above order, compensation equal to half of the total Conn-BGs furnished was to be submitted upfront as compensation for a period of three months and beyond three months, compensation was calculated on a day basis based on half of the total Conn-BGs furnished for the proportionate capacity that had not achieved COD.

66. We note that the provision of submission of Conn-BGs under the GNA Regulations has been made to ensure the implementation of the project and utilisation of Connectivity as per the stipulated timeline. Any delay in the stipulated timeline for meeting the milestones under the GNA Regulations results in the revocation of Connectivity and subsequent encashment of the Conn-BGs. As per CTUIL submissions, BGs stand encashed for each connectivity.

67. We are of the considered view that compensation based on Conn-BGs, which would have been revoked on revocation of connectivity for not commissioning the generating capacity, should be levied for allowing additional time to the Petitioners for commissioning of their project capacity. As per the record, the following Conn-BGs have been submitted by the Petitioners for the connectivity:

Petition No.	Connectivity (in MW)	Conn-BGs submitted (Rs. in crore)	Total value of Conn-BGs (Rs. in crore)
276/MP/2025	200 (Wind)	Conn-BG1 – Rs. 0.5 Conn-BG2 – Rs. 3 Conn-BG3 – Rs. 4	Rs. 7.5
346/MP/ 2025	117 (Wind)	Conn-BG1 – Rs. 0.5 Conn-BG2 – Rs. 3 Conn-BG3 – Rs. 2.34	Rs. 5.84
347/MP/ 2025	100 (Solar)	Conn-BG1 – Rs. 0.5 Conn-BG3 – Rs. 2	Rs. 2.5
	76 (Wind)	Conn-BG1 – Rs. 0.5 Conn-BG3 – Rs. 1.52	Rs. 2.02
	48 MW (wind)	Conn-BG1 – Rs. 0.5 Conn-BG3 – Rs. 0.98	Rs. 1.48

68. In light of the above discussions, we direct that charges for compensation shall be calculated as under:

- (a) An amount of 50% of (sum of Conn-BG1 +Conn-BG2+Conn-BG3, as the case may be) as compensation towards delayed commissioning from the trigger

date of revocation (as concluded in this Order under Issue No.1) till three months from the trigger date of revocation.

TABLE 1:

Petition No.	Connectivity (in MW)	Expected date of Commissioning	Total value of Conn-BGs (Rs Crore)	Connectivity Revocation trigger date	50% Conn-BGs (Rs Crore)	Date up to which COD can be achieved without revocation of Connectivity
(A)	(B)	(G)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
276/MP/2025	200 (Wind)	30.06.2025 (50 MW), 31.08.2025 (50 MW), 31.10.2025 (50 MW), 31.12.2025 (50 MW)	7.5	1.7.2025	3.75 (considering none of the 200 MW achieved COD as on 30.6.2025)	30.9.2025
346/MP/ 2025	117 (Wind)	30.06.2025	5.84	11.08.2024	2.92	10.11.2024
347/MP/ 2025	100 (Solar)	COD achieved on 13.03.2025	2.5	1.1.2025	1.25	31.03.2025
	76 (Wind)	30.06.2025	2.02	1.1.2025	1.01	31.03.2025
	48 MW (wind)	30.06.2025	1.48	1.1.2025	0.74	31.03.2025

(b) If none of the capacities as listed above [Column (B)] achieved COD as on the Connectivity Revocation trigger date [Column (D)], the amount under Column E above shall be payable. It is clarified that if some capacity achieves COD within three months beyond the trigger date, i.e. before the date indicated in Column (F), the amount shall be payable as indicated under Column (E), and there shall be no refund on a prorata basis on the date of achieving COD within these three months.

(c) Suppose part capacity achieves COD prior to trigger date (as in Petition No. 276/MP/2025 expected COD date for 50 MW was 30.6.2025 (it is not on record whether this capacity achieved COD) and the same had achieved COD prior to trigger date i.e. 1.7.2025), the upfront charges for compensation for three months beyond trigger date of revocation shall be calculated after reducing

proportionate amount of total Conn-BGs [under Column (C) for quantum of connectivity which achieved COD]. For example, if 50 MW achieved COD as of 30.6.2025, under Column (E), the amount of payable compensation shall be calculated as = (7.5 crore /2X200 MW) X 150 MW = Rs 2.8125 crore, since 150 MW was in balance as of 1.7.2025.

(d) For delay beyond three months from trigger date of revocation up to six months from trigger date of revocation, charges towards compensation shall be payable on a per day basis proportionate to the quantum of capacity which has not achieved COD, calculated at a per day Rate of compensation (Rs /MW/day) = RATE6 = [50% Conn-BGs (as per Column (E) in sub-clause (a) of this Para (in Rs) / (connectivity quantum in MW which had not achieved COD prior to trigger date of revocation (as per Column (D) in sub-clause (a) of this Para X 90 days)]

(e) The compensation shall be payable in Rs. = RATE6 X quantum of capacity which has not achieved COD in MW X no. of days delayed beyond three months from the trigger date of revocation (Column (D) in sub-clause (a) of this Para). The RATE6 has been calculated as under Column (F) in Table 2 below:

TABLE 2:

Petition No.	Balance quantum of Connectivity to achieve COD (in MW)	50% Conn-BGs (Rs Crore) (as per Column (E) in sub-clause (a) of this Para	Date three months from the trigger date of revocation	Date up to which COD can be achieved without revocation of Connectivity (six months from trigger date	Rate of compensation (Rs /MW/day) RATE6
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
276/MP/2025	200 (Wind)	3.75 (if no capacity had achieved COD as on	30.9.2025	31.12.2025	2083

	OR 150 MW	30.6.2025) OR 2.815 (if 50 MW achieved COD as on 30.6.2025)			
346/MP/2025	117 (Wind)	2.92	10.11.2024	10.02.2025	2773
347/MP/2025	100 (Solar)	1.25	31.03.2025	30.6.2025	1389
	76 (Wind)	1.01	31.03.2025	30.6.2025	1477
	48 MW (wind)	0.74	31.03.2025	30.6.2025	1713

(f) For delay beyond six months from the trigger date of revocation up to twelve months from the trigger date of revocation, charges towards compensation shall be payable on a per-day basis, proportionate to the quantum which has not been achieved, calculated as under:

(i) For each month of delay beyond six months of the trigger date of revocation, RATE6 shall be escalated by 10% each month, keeping the base rate RATE6.

For example, for Petition No. 276/MP/2025, RATE6 was calculated as Rs 2083/MW/day. For the period beyond 31.12.2025, RATE6 shall be escalated as under to calculate the rate of compensation:

Period	Rate of Compensation for capacity which has not achieved COD(Rs/MW/day)	Rate of Compensation for capacity which has not achieved COD(Rs/MW/day)
1.1.2026 – 31.01.2026	RATE6X1.1	=2083X1.10=2292
1.2.2026-28.02.2026	RATE6X1.2	=2083X1.20= 2500
1.03.2026-31.03.2026	RATE6X1.3	=2083X1.30=2708

1.4.2026-30.04.2026	RATE6X1.4	=2083X1.40= 2917
1.5.2026-31.05.2026	RATE6X1.5	=2083X1.50=3125
1.6.2026-30.6.2026	RATE6X1.6	=2083X1.60= 3333

(g) For delay beyond twelve months from the trigger date of revocation, up to fifteen months from the trigger date of revocation, charges towards compensation shall be payable on a per day basis @200% of RATE6 (calculated under Column (F) in sub-clause(b) of this Paragraph).

Petition No.	Rate of compensation (Rs /MW/day) RATE6	Rate of compensation (Rs /MW/day) for a period beyond twelve months from the trigger date of revocation, till fifteen months from the trigger date of revocation
(A)	(B)	
276/MP/2025	2083	4167
346/MP/ 2025	2773	5546
347/MP/ 2025	1389	2778
	1477	2953
	1713	3426

Beyond fifteen months from the trigger date of revocation, the balance connectivity quantum that has not achieved COD shall be revoked and shall be treated in terms of the GNA Regulations.

(h) The amount of compensation due for the Petitioners as on the date of issue of this Order shall be calculated by CTUIL and uploaded on its website, within a period of 15 days of issue of this Order. The amount shall be adjusted from the CTUIL funds available from encashed Conn-BGs. The balance amount of encashed Conn-BGs shall be returned for the cases where COD has been achieved.

(i) For the capacity where COD has not been achieved, the balance amount of Con-BGs shall be kept in a separate account by CTUIL and shall be adjusted monthly on the basis of compensation due for the delay and balance, if any, shall be returned on achieving COD for the full capacity against which such BG was furnished.

(j) For cases where COD is not achieved, and the encashed amount of BG is exhausted, CTUIL shall raise a monthly bill for such compensation, which shall be payable within a period of one month from raising the bill. If the bills are not paid within one month of issuance, the provisions of LPS shall apply. In case the compensation charges are not paid within three months from raising the bill by CTUIL, the CTUIL shall revoke the connectivity in terms of Regulation 24.6 of the GNA Regulations.

(k) The Petitioners shall re-furnish the Conn-BGs (Conn-BG1, Conn-BG2, Conn-BG3, as applicable) which were encashed, within a period of one month from the issue of this Order, failing which the connectivity shall stand revoked. In case full connectivity quantum has achieved COD, as on the date of issue of this Order, Conn-BG1 shall not be furnished in terms of Regulation 16 of the GNA Regulations.

(l) The Petitioners shall be permitted a maximum delay period of 15 months with payment of compensation, beyond which connectivity shall be revoked for the corresponding capacity which has not achieved COD. For example, if the trigger date of revocation under Regulation 24.6 is 1.1.2025, the maximum period up to which connectivity shall not be revoked, subject to payment of compensation, is 31.3.2026.

(m) The amount received by the CTUIL under the aforementioned compensation shall be utilised to reduce the monthly transmission charges under the Sharing Regulations 2020.

(n) It is clarified that the timeline to achieve the commissioning of the project shall have no bearing on the liabilities under the Sharing Regulations 2020, which shall be applicable in terms of provisions of the said Regulations.

69. The issue is answered accordingly.

71. The Petitioners have also filed IAs under the main Petitions for interim relief associated with their prayers under the main Petition. As the issues under the instant Petitions have already been discussed and decided under this Order, the prayers under the associated IAs of the instant Petitions no longer survive.

72. Petition No. 276/MP/2025 along with IA No(s). 15/2025, 29/2025 and 30/2025, Petition No. 346/MP/2025, along with IA No. 23/2025, Petition No. 347/MP/2025, along with IA No. 24/2025, are disposed of in terms of the above.

**Sd/
(Ravinder Singh Dhillon)
Member**

**Sd/
(Harish Dudani)
Member**

**Sd/
(Ramesh Babu V.)
Member**

**Sd/
(Jishnu Barua)
Chairperson**